ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
September 8, 2016

BLAKE LEASING COMPANY, LLC,

Petitioner,

VS

PCB 16-100

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY and
VILLAGE OF KIRKLAND,

Respondent.

Page 1

PAGE 1

PROTECTION CONTROL BOARD

PCB 16-100

PCB 16-100

Respondent.

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing on a motion of the above-entitled cause before the Honorable BRADLEY HALLORAN, Hearing Officer, Illinois Pollution Control Board, 100 West Randolph Street, Room 11-512, Chicago, Illinois, on the 23rd day of May, 2017, at the hour of 10:10 a.m.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 5/30/2017

May 23, 2017

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
        HINSHAW & CULBERTSON, LLP
             MR. CHARLES F. HELSTEN
        100 Park Avenue
 3
        P.O. Box 1389
 4
        Rockford, Illinois 61105
        (815) 490-4900,
 5
        chelsten@hinshawlaw.com
 6
                Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
 7
        ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
        BY: MS. JOANNE OLSON
        1021 North Grand Avenue East
 8
        P.O. Box 19276
 9
        Springfield, Illinois 62794
        (217) 524-3306
10
                Appeared on behalf of the Respondent;
11
     BOARD MEMBERS:
                       MS. JENNIFER BURKE
12
                       MS. CARRIE ZALEWSKI
                       MS. ALISA LIU
13
     TECHNICAL STAFF:
                       MR. ANAND RAO
14
                       MS. MARIE TIPSORD
     ALSO PRESET:
15
                       MR. CHAD KRUSE
                       MS. TANYA RABCZAK
16
                       MS. KATIE PAPADIMITRIU
                       MR. LYNN DUNAWAY
17
18
     REPORTED BY:
19
           Steven J. Brickey, CSR
           CSR License No. 084-004675
20
2.1
22
23
24
```

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2	THE WITNESS: RONALD ST. JOHN	
3	PAGE	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Helsten 21	
5		
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8		
9	Marked for	
10	Identification	
11	Exhibit No. A	
12	Exhibit No. B	
13	Exhibit No. C 52	
14	Exhibit No. E 54	
15	Exhibit No. F	
16	Exhibit No. D	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Page 4 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 2 morning, everybody. My name is Brad Halloran. 3 I'm a Hearing Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I'm also assigned to this case 4 5 entitled Balke Leasing Company, LLC, petitioner, versus the Illinois Environmental Protection 6 7 Agency and the Village of Kirkland. It's PCB 8 16-100 and it's a water well setback exception. 9 Today's May 23rd, 2017. It is approximately 10:10 10 in the morning. This hearing was noticed up properly under the Board rules and will be 11 12 conducted in accordance to 101 and 106 of the 13 Board's procedural rules. 14 You know, with that said, I'm 15 pleased to announce that we have a few personnel from the Board with us. We have Member 16 17 Papadimitriu, we have Member Burke, we have Member 18 Zalewski, we have Attorney Advisor Ms. Rabczak, we 19 have Technical Unit Alisa Liu, Anand Rao, Attorney 2.0 Advisor Chad Kruse and we have General Counsel 2.1 Marie Tipsord. 22 Mr. Helsten, would you like to 23 introduce yourself and then I'll have Ms. Olson. 24 MR. HELSTEN: Sure. Mr. Halloran,

	Page 5			
1	thank you. Chuck Helsten from Hinshaw &			
2	Culbertson, attorney for the petitioner Blake			
3	Leasing.			
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And we			
5	have your witness.			
6	MR. HELSTEN: And my witness today			
7	Mr. Ron St. John of St. John Mittelhauser.			
8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank			
9	you, Mr. Helsten. Ms. Olson?			
10	MS. OLSON: Hi. Good morning. My			
11	name is Joanne Olson. I'm counsel at Illinois EPA			
12	and I have Lynn Dunaway.			
13	MR. DUNAWAY: My name is Lynn			
14	Dunaway and I work in the groundwater section for			
15	the Bureau of Water.			
16	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All			
17	right. Thank you. I am told that during the			
18	prehearing conference was that last week,			
19	Mr. Helsten, that the attorney for the Village of			
20	Kirkland, Mr. Bradford Stewart, will not be			
21	attending today?			
22	MR. HELSTEN: That's right,			
23	Mr. Halloran. That's my understanding that and			
24	what Mr. Stewart advised me as well that the			

```
Page 6
1
     Village will not be participating.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                              Okay.
3
     Thank you. Mr. Helsten, you can remain seated
4
     when you talk. That's fine. But thank you
5
     anyway.
6
                       I think our plan is Mr. Helsten
7
     was going to do an opening outlining where we are
8
     in this matter and then afterwards Ms. Olson may
9
     do so as well and then after that we're going to
     swear Mr. St. John in and Mr. Helsten will take
10
     over probably more or less like a summary of this
11
12
     petition. So, with that said, Mr. Helsten --
13
                  MR. HELSTEN:
                                Yes.
14
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: -- an
15
     opening.
16
                  MR. HELSTEN: Should I stand?
17
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Whatever
18
     you feel like.
19
                  MR. HELSTEN: I think I should
20
     probably stand. Good morning, everyone, Board
2.1
     members, staff.
22
                       My name is Chuck Helsten.
                                                   Ι
23
     represent the petitioner in this case Blake
24
     Leasing. I'll be brief with my opening statement
```

Page 7 1 so we can get right to Mr. St. John. 2 facility is located in Kirkland, Illinois in 3 DeKalb County, Illinois. This is a quick stop or 4 convenience store facility. Blake Leasing I guess 5 I'd like to put it this way as I prepared the case 6 this is not a problem that Blake Leasing caused, 7 this is a problem Blake Leasing inherited. Blake 8 Leasing acquired the facility in the early 2000s. 9 It has a longstanding presence in other respects in the Village of Kirkland going back almost 10 decades and decades and decades, but 11 12 it acquired this facility in the early 2000s. 13 When it acquired it, it acquired with it an open LUST incident from 1989 -- from 14 15 1989. It continued -- it assumed the 16 responsibility for remediating that and continued 17 with its first set of consultants. After some 18 back -- significant amount of back and forth and this is in the record that I will ask the Board to 19 20 take administrative notice of the record that has 2.1 been made here and this was attached to our 22 original petition in September 2015 IEPA approved

The one condition of approval

a corrective action plan under the LUST program.

23

24

Page 8

1 was since the remedial approach proposed to 2 physically go within the setback zones for the two 3 community wells in DeKalb that the petitioner, 4 Blake Leasing, obtain proper approval from the 5 appropriate agency. As luck would have it at that 6 time, Mr. St. John and I were not involved. 7 happened at that time, and it's also appended to 8 our original petition, Blake Leasing applied for 9 approval under as I recall presumably from what I could discern from the documents that were filed 10 applied to IEPA for approval either under the 11 12 variance provisions that EPA can grant a variance 13 or the limited adjusted standard provisions. Mr. Kim at that time either in his capacity as 14 15 general counsel or as acting director, I can't 16 remember which, I believe it was general counsel, 17 correctly advised Blake Leasing that they needed 18 to file a petition under Section 14.2 of the 19 act -- C of the act. Ergo here we are today. 20 The first petition was filed in 2.1 April of 2016. That petition was supported by the 22 studies done by ECI, Environmental Contractors of 23 Illinois, and Geothink, which were Blake Leasing's 24 original consultants in this matter. The Board

Page 9 1 reviewed that consistent with -- I think it was an 2 opinion by Ms. Zalewski, Board Member Zalewski. 3 There was an instruction from the Board to amend 4 the petition. 5 At that point in time, 6 Mr. St. John was retained to -- as the consultant to do a critique of what had -- what had occurred 8 and to file support for an amended petition. 9 Pursuant to Board Member Zalewski's order as I 10 recall, I think our amended petition was going to be due in January -- January 6th, 2017. 11 12 Mr. St. John, as Hearing Officer Halloran said 13 today, will provide summary testimony. Obviously, 14 we don't want to reinvent the wheel and go through 15 the entire record that has already been made here 16 over the course of the past two years. He will 17 hit on the highlights as I will try to right now 18 in the opening statement. 19 Mr. St. John has extensive 20 experience in the area of remediation in and 2.1 around well fields, public and private well 22 fields. I ran into Mr. St. John in Shelbyville, Indiana and then at the arsenic contamination 23 24 problem in Kokomo, Indiana. That's where I ran

1 into Mr. St. John. 2 The first thing that 3 Mr. St. John did was, of course, look at all the historical data for the site. He also visited 4 5 with the Village and looked at the Village's 6 records that pertained to their community well service, their system. The evidence will show and 7 8 as highlighted by Mr. St. John that there are 9 three wells included within the Village of 10 Kirkland's system. They have designated three 11 wells, but they use two wells. 12 Well number one is a well of 13 1896 vintage. It is leased by the Village of 14 Kirkland from the Canadian Pacific Railroad. Ιt is on Canadian Pacific Railroad property. 15 what I call for want of a better word, and this is 16 17 just my summary, nobody else's, a backup backup 18 well. As Mr. St. John learned, as he will 19 testify, during the course of his interview of the 20 manager of the water department, the Village 2.1 engineer and the mayor that this well is not 22 hooked up to the City of Kirkland's public water 23 This well is simply flushed once a year system. 24 and tested once a year I presume based on my

2.1

Page 11

experience in public water cases consistent with the Safe Drinking Water Act requirements and IEPA and this Board's regulations as to public water sources. So it is simply tested -- flushed once a year and tested once a year. It is not hooked up to the water tower or to the treatment system, to the water treatment system. That is the first well that is impacted by the proposed remediation, the one that is on the railroad property.

The second well is well number two, which I believe the vintage of well number two is in the 1950s. This served for many years as the primary production well for the Village of Kirkland.

The third well is well number -that well as well -- excuse me. If I can back up
a second. That well as well is subject to this
petition of late based upon comments which IEPA we
think wisely made that they pointed out in the
course of this proceeding.

Well number three is a well that was developed in 2005. It is not within the setback zone and as a matter of fact it is more than a mile west of the City of Kirkland. It was

1 developed in 2000 -- I believe 2005. What 2 Mr. St. John will testify to that he learned is 3 that the well number two and well number three are 4 used alternatively in synch. One well is used and 5 then -- to fill the water tower. That well is given a respite and then the other well is used 6 7 the next time the water tower needs to be filled 8 and so on and so on and so on. 9 Mr. St. John will also testify 10 that by looking at the site he saw a curious The curious anomaly which I think 11 anomaly. 12 probably that the staff, the Board members and 13 IEPA have noted is there are certain pockets of contamination in close proximity to areas that 14 15 have -- do not have levels of contamination that 16 exceed Class 1 groundwater standards and that's 17 what we're shooting for here is compliance with 18 Class 1 groundwater standards. 19 Mr. St. John conducted two 20 studies. One in 2016, a follow-up study in 2.1 2000- -- in August of 2016, a follow-up study in 22 November of 2016 to determine what caused this 23 anomaly. What he will testify to is that those 24 two studies as supplemented by some additional

Page 13

1 what I call supplemental or fringe testing in December 2016 to confirm certain things showed 2 3 that some of the elevated levels were due to 4 excess turbidity based on the way the wells had 5 been sampled for years by the prior consultants. So, in other words, and I guess for want of a 6 better word as I always put it, you were sampling 8 soil -- microscopic bits of soil contamination in 9 water, not groundwater contamination. He will 10 testify as then -- that he used low level methods of testing to obtain accurate groundwater levels 11 12 which reduced the number of points at which 13 treatment was needed. 14 The second thing that he noticed 15 was the areas of contamination that exceed Class 1 groundwater standards, and they are as he will 16 17 testify very slight, they are very slight 18 exceedances where there are exceedances, were 19 directly correlated to areas of low levels of 20 dissolved oxygen leading him to conclude that if 2.1 more oxygen was simply introduced into the

groundwater in this uppermost water bearing unit

that by natural attenuation, the natural microbes

would continue to attack the contamination and

22

23

24

Page 14

bring it down -- in those very few areas where we have exceedances would bring it down below Class 1 standards as it had for the majority of the -- the majority of the area.

2.1

Mr. St. John did some follow-up testing in December of 2016 based upon some excess turbidity that they were still dealing with.

Those results confirmed that, again, the elevated levels of contamination seen in certain areas were simply the result of excess turbidity and once an adequate low level quality sample -- representative sample of groundwater was garnered there was -- there was no exceedance of Class 1 standards.

Mr. St. John will testify that he assembled a technical memorandum in support of the amended petition. The amended petition was filed on January 6th, 2017. Thereafter, based upon inquiries both by the Board and by IEPA, he filed in February, February 23rd, 2017, an expanded conceptual approach where he outlined why he thought air sparging was the best alternative here and why it satisfied each of the four criteria set forth in 14.2 of the act.

1 Subsequent to that submission, 2 this Board submitted questions to Mr. St. John and 3 to Blake Leasing which were answered on 4 February -- I believe late February if -- my 5 memory escapes me at the time. In any event, 6 those -- those inquiries were responded to by St. John on March 17th, 2017, in which he outlined 8 precisely all of the reasons why he felt that air 9 sparging was the best alternative here, why it satisfied each of the criterion under 14.2 of the 10 act. He also included as he will testify today in 11 12 response to the Board's questions what the closure 13 plan was, what would be deemed success, mission 14 accomplished, what would be deemed a success here; 15 when the system could be closed, what the closure 16 plan would be after that, how the wells would be 17 abandoned. 18 In addition, we had --Mr. St. John and I had recommended that a 19 20 follow-up sampling be done in March of 2017 simply to make sure that the follow-up sampling confirmed 2.1 22 what we saw in August, November and December of 23 2016. Those results were also submitted as part 24 and parcel of the March 17th, 2017, submittal.

2.1

Page 16

Subsequent thereto, the Agency reviewed the comment of this submission post its own questions and we responded to those and the Agency noted and we thought aptly so that, well, it appears that probably you can reach the contamination plume in question, which had been defined by Mr. St. John by the way after his studies in 2016. He had defined the nature and extent of the plume and thought that air sparging was the best way to address it to minimize potential impacts.

The IEPA, the Agency pointed out you may be right -- and this is in the record.

I'm simply paraphrasing the record. The record is what the record is, but I believe the gist of the comments were "You may be right that your proposed air sparging will not encroach upon the setback zone for well number two, but doesn't it make more sense since there may be some fringe contamination there, doesn't it make more sense to do everything at once?" We agreed with that, filed our response. In response to that -- the last submission was in response to this Board's questions in early May as to "What is your amended

1 sparging plan and what are the maximum feasible 2 alternative setbacks?" 3 Mr. St. John will testify as to 4 what those are and why and why he believes those meet each of the four critical criteria in Section 5 14.2 of the act and Section 106.310 of the 6 7 regulations. I guess in summary our position is, 8 and our theme is, we inherited a problem, we're 9 trying to address the problem, we're trying to 10 address the problem in a way which does -- will not expand the plume, will not increase the 11 12 concentration in the plume, will not exacerbate 13 the plume in any way, shape or form whatsoever. 14 It uses air, what we're all breathing in here 15 today. Oxygen in, ambient air, what we're all 16 breathing in here today and that Blake Leasing 17 wants to be part of the solution and not part of 18 the problem. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 20 you, Mr. Helsten. Ms. Olson, any opening? 2.1 MS. OLSON: Hi, good morning. Ι 22 just want to take a minute to thank the Board for 23 holding this hearing and Mr. Helsten for being 24 here today and I just wanted to let you know that

Page 18 1 during your opening statement our screen flashed 2 and a warning came up that said the computer is 3 going to restart. 4 MR. KRUSE: It did and I'm trying to 5 handle that as quickly as possible and I was given 6 no alternative. So we're just going to work with 7 that. 8 MS. OLSON: Okay. So if we 9 disappear, we're still here. 10 MR. KRUSE: Yeah, I don't think our 11 conveyance has anything to do with -- can you hear 12 me, Joanne? 13 MS. OLSON: Yeah, you quys 14 disappeared again. 15 I don't think it has MR. KRUSE: 16 anything to do with conveying the camera and 17 sound. I think what you're just seeing is the 18 one -- for the camera to show what the computer is 19 doing and I can just hide that this way. 20 MS. OLSON: Perfect. Well, I told 2.1 the staff out there that this might be a problem. 22 So they may come in here. 23 MR. KRUSE: Sure. 24 MS. OLSON: We're on mute. So we'll

```
Page 19
 1
     be fine.
 2
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Okay.
 3
                  MS. OLSON:
                              Thanks.
 4
                  MR. KRUSE: So given what Joanne
 5
     just said and what my computer is showing, I
 6
     cannot pull up the Power Point right now.
 7
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Okay.
 8
                  MR. KRUSE: But I am hoping we can
 9
     do that quickly. Is that the Power Point the very
10
     beginning of your talk or do you want to go ahead
11
     and get started?
12
                  MS. OLSON:
                              Chad?
13
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Yeah?
                              We have the Power Point
14
                  MS. OLSON:
15
     on a laptop right here.
16
                  MR. KRUSE:
                             Great.
17
                  MS. OLSON: So we're fine.
18
                  MR. KRUSE: How do you want to --
19
                  MR. ST. JOHN:
                                  I mean, this is such
20
     a small community I can probably just use the
2.1
     reports and show you guys the papers if you want
22
     me to.
23
                           Chad, is it rebooting?
                  MR. RAO:
24
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Yeah, it says
```

	Page 20	
1	configuring Windows update, 75 percent complete.	
2	Do not turn off your computer.	
3	MR. HELSTEN: Do we want to make	
4	copies?	
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go	
6	off the record for a minute.	
7	(Whereupon, a break was taken	
8	after which the following	
9	proceedings were had.)	
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're	
11	back on the record. I think Mr. Helsten is going	
12	to call his first and only witness and I'll have	
13	Mr. Brickey swear him in and we can proceed.	
14	Mr. Helsten?	
15	MR. HELSTEN: Yes, Mr. Halloran. As	
16	a preliminary matter before we take Mr. St. John's	
17	testimony, I would ask the Board to take	
18	administrative notice of the entire record made in	
19	this matter to date as part of the petitioner's	
20	hearing and presentation.	
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.	
22	Olson, any objection?	
23	MS. OLSON: No objection.	
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All	

	Page 21			
1	right. Granted. So noted.			
2	MR. HELSTEN: Thank you.			
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank			
4	you.			
5	MR. HELSTEN: We would call at this			
6	time, Mr. Halloran, Mr. Ron St. John.			
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Terrific.			
8	Mr. St. John, if you can raise your right hand,			
9	Mr. Brickey will swear you in, please.			
10	WHEREUPON:			
11	RONALD ST. JOHN			
12	called as a witness herein, having been first duly			
13	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:			
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
15	BY MR. HELSTEN			
16	Q. Can you state your name for the			
17	record, please?			
18	A. Ronald B. St. John.			
19	Q. What is your occupation,			
20	Mr. St. John?			
21	A. I'm a professional hydrogeologist.			
22	Q. Would you briefly go into for the			
23	benefit of all of us here your educational			
24	background and career experience?			

2.1

Page 22

A. Sure. I have a bachelor's degree in geology from Southern Illinois University. I did graduate work in hydrogeology at Wright State
University. I worked for the Illinois EPA in the groundwater section in 1980 and '81 for Dr. Ralph Piskin and published some reports there related to those studies. Essentially, I've been a practicing hydrogeologist for the past 37 years predominantly in the Midwest, but that being said I'm currently working outside as far east as Massachusetts and as far west as California.

- Q. Okay. More specifically, could you tell us a little bit about your experience with remediation of well fields, both public and private potable water sources?
- A. Well, aside from the two you mentioned where we met, one in Kokomo, Indiana related to arsenic contamination there and the other one in Shelbyville, Indiana related to TCE contamination I've worked quite a few public water supply and private well matters. Probably the highest profile one in the last 15, 20 years is the Lockformer site in Lisle, Illinois. I've been the project manager for the Lockformer site from

Page 23

day one and saw through to the completion and the no further action letter issued by Illinois EPA earlier last year. I worked on the southeast Rockford Superfund site and worked with the city there in getting that whole deal struck with US EPA.

2.1

I worked on the Frank's

Industrial Waste Site in Pecatonica, Illinois and
the groundwater contamination there impacting the
resident department along Ferguson Road. I'm
currently working in southern Indiana in Madison
along the Ohio River for a client named Charter
Oil International that has -- that operated a
series of bulk storage tanks and had chlorinated
solvent storage and has come close to impacting
their city well field and performing remediations
there and I guess I could go on if you really feel
the need.

- Q. Briefly, could you tell us about your past experience in the area of seeking and obtaining setback exceptions such as the one that is the subject of this matter?
- A. Sure. The only other real exception that I've worked on is for Paul Johnson, Inc. in

	Page 24							
1	Waterman, Illinois, which is a very similar							
2	matter. It's hydrocarbons, benzene and PNAs							
3	around a very similar sort of incident where they							
4	had a deep well and we needed setback exceptions							
5	to perform similar shallow remediation.							
6	Q. Mr. St. John, you've been retained							
7	by Blake Leasing in this matter, correct?							
8	A. Correct.							
9	Q. Can you tell me approximately when							
10	you were retained and why you were retained?							
11	A. We were I was re we were							
12	retained I was retained in July of 2016 and we							
13	were retained to help with this setback exception							
14	and review all the hydrogeology and provide							
15	direction on the project.							
16	Q. Okay. Now, have you as a							
17	preliminary matter, have you prepared a Power							
18	Point presentation that you think would aid the							
19	everyone here and the trier of fact here?							
20	A. Yes.							
21	Q. Okay. Does the Power Point							
22	presentation simply summarize certain contents of							
23	submissions that you've already made so far?							
24	A. Yes, that's all I've done in terms							

of	its	contents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. What was the first thing that you did on this project?
- A. The first thing we did was to review the hydrogeology and geology related to the Kirkland area and the well field and the wells, the publications by the Illinois State Geological Survey, the Illinois State Water Survey and the previous data prepared by the consultant that was working on the project.
- Q. Okay. Did you prepare a cross section that sets forth the data in relation to the wells in Kirkland?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that included at slide three of your Power Point presentation?
 - A. I believe it is.
- Q. Could you please just basically summarize what is included there? Slide number three.
- A. He doesn't quite have it ready yet.

 So that cross section, pardon me, runs from the east of Kirkland through Kirkland and the city well field well number two to the west and then

Page 26 1 goes west of the city, the village, approximately 2 a mile and a quarter to municipal well number 3 three. 4 And you've set forth the location of 0. 5 all three wells on this cross --6 Actually, no, only two of the city 7 wells are shown. Wells two and three are shown on 8 this cross section. 9 Q. Okay. So you reviewed this 10 stratigraphy in and around this site and the geological strata? 11 12 Yeah, one of the nice things about Α. Kirkland well number two is that the Illinois 13 14 State Geological Survey took the boring log from 15 that well, which was developed or installed in approximately 1950, and they did a detailed 16 17 geological analysis of it. So it made my job 18 pretty easy. 19 Could you summarize what the 20 stratigraphy is in and around well number one and 2.1 two? 22 Wells one and two -- sure. You have Α. 23 approximately in the vicinity of wells one and two 24 it might be easier actually to look at cross

Page 27 1 section CC Prime, which is I believe the next one 2 you have on. 3 MR. KRUSE: If I may just for 4 clarification, it's unclear to anyone not looking at this which wells are illustrated on this slide. 5 6 Can you just point out that this 7 is -- what we're seeing right now? Which well 8 does this depict? 9 THE WITNESS: So that was the figure that I was using previously. 10 The deeper of the two wells in the middle of that cross action AA 11 12 Prime is city well number 2 and the one to the left -- the one to the left, the left side of the 13 14 cross section, is city well number three. 15 actually try -- which one is that? That's three. Go back to two if you would -- sorry. Go to 16 17 three. Next one. MR. KRUSE: This is slide three. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Go to four. 2.0 BY THE WITNESS: 2.1 So this cross section is -- is Α. 22 simply a cross section for the city emergency 23 backup well number one, which is on the right side

of this drawing, and goes through -- and you can

24

Page 28 1 see the base of the water tower in approximately 2 the center portion of that cross section, just 3 showing up, and it runs to the north to well 4 number two and related to the geology you have 5 approximately 30 feet of sand and gravel overlying a silty clay glacial till unit that's also 6 7 approximately 30 feet in thickness. 8 Water saturates the shallow sand 9 and gravel at approximately five, six feet in 10 depth to form a water table aguifer and then below the glacial till you have the Galena Dolomite, the 11 12 Decorah Dolomite, the Platteville Dolomite, 13 typically known as the Galena-Platteville, and then an expansive shale unit identified in the 14 green as the Glenwood Shale and then below that 15 16 the St. Peter Sandstone. 17 BY MR. HELSTEN: 18 Is the Glenwood Shale unit an Q. 19 impermeable unit? 20 Α. Yeah, typically, the Glenwood Shale 2.1 doesn't yield valuable quantities of water. 22 Do you consider it an aquitard? Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. What was the next thing you Q.

did other than look at geological records for the site and prepare a cross section? What did you then do?

A. Well, we reviewed the sampling methodologies and the groundwater data developed by Geothink and the other consultant's name that I can't remember right now.

Q. ECI?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Α. ECI. And realized that they were sampling the wells by bailer method and they were determining very high concentrations in the laboratory determinations that identified very high concentrations of iron and lead in the groundwater as well as PNAs, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, and benzene that seemed unusual in terms of their transportability, mobility and it was concluded that there was a fair probability that some of the groundwater data as it stood on the record to that point in time had been influenced by the sampling methodologies, i.e., the use of bailers and the sampling of turbid groundwater and submission of that turbid groundwater for analytical analysis.

Sorry,

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

Page 30 1 Mr. Helsten. Ms. Olson, are you still with us? 2 MS. OLSON: Yes, we are. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All 4 right. Thank you. 5 MS. OLSON: Thanks. 6 BY MR. HELSTEN: 7 Mr. St. John, after reviewing 0. 8 geological data from in and around the well field 9 area and the site and after reviewing prior records generated by -- and data generated by ECI 10 11 and Geothink, what did you then do? Did you ever 12 sit down with the Village and review the well 13 system? 14 Α. Yes. So we developed a -- what I'll 15 call a conceptual site model for the site, 16 potential transport and groundwater flow based on 17 the previous data and our suspicions related to 18 the groundwater analytical data. We met with the 19 city water department, Paul Naugle, who at the 20 time was the head of the city water department and 2.1 outlined the performance of a hydraulic pump test 22 utilizing city well number two, the well 23 immediately north of the site, and one of the two 24 primary wells that are used in a cyclical fashion

to supply water to the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

In meeting with Mr. Naugle, we learned this information just exactly how they operate their well system. They typically pump the wells well two and well three on a cyclical basis on five to seven days typically intermittently. One well will operate for five to seven days to fill the water tower and then they take that one offline and then they use the other well outside of town to fill the water tower and then they just go back and forth like that on a routine basis and we outlined the hydraulic testing that we would perform to evaluate the hydraulic connection between the glaciofluvial sediments and the water table in the glaciofluvial sediments and the bedrock aquifer and that would require us to install a groundwater monitoring well as close as we practically could to their Village well number two and essentially it was installed right outside the door of their -of their well house 18 feet away from their well and we outlined a procedure where we would have a well that is pumping -- supplying water to the city for the seven days prior to our testing the

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Page 32

one that is the west, well number three, a mile away from the city, would supply all the water for those seven days prior to the testing so that the water level in well number two, the well we were, you know, interested in and it's just immediately north of the Blake site, that water level could rebound and reach a static equilibrium prior to our testing and then we -- during that process, we also ran an antecedent trend period in our monitoring well so we can monitor the water level in our shallow monitoring well and our glaciofluvial well. Leading up to this test, so we -- and in doing that we identified diurnal effects of the water level in the well and -- that were primarily related to evapotranspiration because the testing took place in August.

Q. Let's back up for a second,
Mr. St. John. Can you just summarize for us
because we've been talking about the uppermost
water bearing unit and the glaciofluvial deposits
and the deep wells.

Can you just summarize for everyone here what you found out from the Village -- from the director of the water

department, the Village engineer, what you found out about each of the wells that are designated as public supply wells by the Village of Kirkland starting with well number one, for example, it's age --

A. Sure.

2.1

- Q. -- it's depth, how it's used?
- A. Well number one is an emergency backup well that actually is connected into the city's system, but they have to go through quite a bit of machination to get it to operate. To my knowledge, I don't believe it's ever supplied water in the near distant past.
 - Q. By distant past --
 - A. Probably the last 20 years.
- 16 Q. Okay.
 - A. It is a well that was drilled and installed in 1896. It has a seven-inch casing and this is all in the cross section that is up on the screen right now. It has a seven-inch casing to 88-feet in depth into the upper portion of the Galena Dolomite and below that it's an open hole down to a total depth of 737 feet. So it collects its water from the lower portion of the Galena

Dolomite, the Platteville and St. Peter Sandstone.

2.0

2.1

Well number two has a 12.5-inch surface casing that goes through the glacial sediments into the upper portion of the Galena Dolomite and then it has installed below it an eight-inch casing, a smaller diameter, eight-inch casing through the 12 -- 12.5-inch casing down into the Decorah Dolomite. So it cases off the entirety of the Galena and then below that it is open hole down to a total depth of 630 feet below grade surface. And so the water it collects is from the Platteville and the St. Peter Sandstone and it's depicted on the left side of the cross section that's on the screen right now.

Well number three is outside the town to the west approximately a mile and a quarter. It's on the -- one of the other -- it's on that previous cross section. It actually is drilled into the Troy Bedrock Valley, the old ancient Troy River incised itself through nearly all of the Galena-Platteville Dolomite. As you can see on the left side of this cross section, stratified fluvial sediments exist from surface grade to an approximate elevation of about 500

feet above mean sea level and then municipal well three is cased through the Glenwood Shale and it receives all of its water from an open hole in the St. Peter Sandstone.

2.1

- Q. Now, we can fast forward. You had mentioned a site investigation, site characterization effort that took place in August of 2016. Was that the next thing that you did after -- after -- number one you looked at the geological data; number two, you looked at the site history; number three, you looked at the documentation and prior test done by ECI and by Geothink; number four, you met with the Village and thoroughly studied the well system. What was the next thing you did?
- A. So then we developed a plan to test the hydraulic connection between the glaciofluvial aquifer and the bedrock aquifer which I started to describe which had us installing the well immediately outside well number two north of the site, the well was installed approximately 18-feet away from well number two, and it collected water level measurements and that well was completed and the glaciofluvial sediments so that for the week

Page 36

prior to the test while the well number three outside of the town was providing all the water to the city and the water tower, well number two was able to rebound and reach a static equilibrium for our testing and gain the maximum amount of ability to have any kind of an influence from the -- or cause any kind of influence to our glaciofluvial well.

2.0

2.1

So we then had well number two turned back on with a pressure transducer measuring the water level in the shallow glaciofluvial well, operated that well for I think it was about five, seven days and determined that there really was no effect on the static water level in the glaciofluvial sediments.

Q. Why is that significant?

A. Well, that's significant because it shows there is no direct hydraulic connection.

While there was 18 feet or more of water level decline in the water level in the municipal well number two, there was no -- there was zero observable decline in the water level in the glaciofluvial aquifer well. And then in conjunction with that testing to evaluate the

Page 37

ability for the silty clay glacial till to form an aquitard or impede the vertical migration of groundwater, we did a sampling at the site of all the groundwater monitoring wells by low flow sampling methods.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Why did you use low flow sampling?
- A. So the low flow sampling was performed to reduce the turbidity in the wells which were believed to have caused some of the previous lead exceedances and some of the additional problems that were noted with some of the PNAs and benzene concentrations.
- Q. Okay. When you were at the site in August of 2016, did you observe any other activity in and around well number one which is -- you testified is located on the railroad property?
- A. Yes, our personnel performed the sampling and in doing the testing observed a contractor at the site working on the railroad and drilling and installing borings on the railroad.
- Q. Okay. Now, did you receive findings or sample results from your 2016 site investigation --
 - A. Yes.

Page 38 1 -- effort? Did you review them? 0. 2 Α. Yes. 3 Can you summarize the -- any Q. 4 significant findings that you discern from a 5 review of those sampling results? The hydraulic testing indicated that 6 7 there was no observable drawdown on the 8 glaciofluvial well adjacent -- that was installed 9 adjacent to Village well number two and indicated there was no direct hydraulic connection between 10 the bedrock aquifers and the glaciofluvial 11 12 aquifer. 13 Ο. Why is that important? Well, it's just not a direct pathway 14 Α. 15 from the glaciofluvial aguifer to the bedrock. 16 And the glaciofluvial aquifer is 0. 17 where the groundwater contamination plume exists? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 0. Okav. 20 And then in addition the testing Α. 2.1 also indicated that there was no lead -- there was 22 no detections of lead in groundwater onsite. 23 Q. Which had previously been noted in 24 elevated levels, correct?

A. That's right.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Α. And then additionally there were fewer benzene and fewer and lower concentration benzene and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon In addition, the -- since we did detections. sampling by low flow determinations, we're able to determine the dissolved oxygen content of the groundwater for the first time because it's really nearly impossible to do by bailer method and determine that there was -- within the area of the site where organic contamination occurs, benzene and the PNAs, that the dissolved oxygen was depleted in that area of the glaciofluvial aquifer of the site and it was basically a halo effect where outside that area where the organic compounds exist the dissolved oxygen concentrations were background concentrations, four parts per million or greater.

And that led to the conclusion that there is this significant attenuation of the organic contaminant migration at the site based on the indigenous microbial population. Using that dissolved oxygen which is its most fortuitous, it

2.1

Page 40

has its greatest ability to -- and they derive the greatest amount of energy by using dissolved oxygen to metabolize organics that the conceptual site model then had the organics -- supplying the organic carbon for the microorganisms, would then use that organic carbon and part of the respiratory process they use dissolved oxygen to aspire and metabolize the organics and that's why they get depleted and one of the only reasons why you -- I believe we have such low concentrations of benzene and the PNAs which are just really only slightly above the GROs, the Tier 1 Class 1, GROs is because of the depletion of the dissolved oxygen in the shallow glaciofluvial aquifer.

- Q. So, in summary, you saw a direct correlation between low -- lower levels of dissolved oxygen and lower levels of contamination?
- A. That's right and that was corroborated by dissolved iron concentrations in groundwater between when the oxygen is depleted the next electronic ceptor that is utilized is iron. Iron -- there was an electron transfer. Iron -- the iron in the formation then gets

	Page 41
1	reduced from the ferric iron plus three to ferrous
2	iron plus two. Ferrous iron plus two is soluble
3	in groundwater so you see elevated iron
4	concentrations in groundwater.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr.
6	Helsten, if you
7	MR. RAO: Just a quick
8	clarification. Can you expand the abbreviation
9	GRO for the record, please?
10	THE WITNESS: The Tier 1, Class 1
11	groundwater remediation objective. Sorry.
12	MR. HELSTEN: I should have done
13	that. My apologies.
14	BY MR. HELSTEN:
15	Q. Mr. St. John, after conducting the
16	August 2016 site investigation site
17	characterization study, did you conduct any
18	subsequent studies?
19	A. Yes, we went back in November to
20	sample the wells again to see if we could
21	corroborate our August groundwater sampling.
22	Q. Why?
23	A. Just to make sure we had an accurate
24	picture of the site and conceptual site model.

1	Q. Okay. And did you garner data from
2	that sampling exercise?
3	A. Yes, essentially that data with the
4	exception of two wells that are wells 30S and 30D
5	all the data corroborated are data from August 30S
6	and 30D were sampled with elevated turbidity. We
7	had sampling issues at the site.
8	Q. Excuse me. For purposes of
9	illustrating this and laying it out in clear
10	fashion, is the data compiled and laid out in
11	Power Point number eight and nine?
12	A. I believe it is, yes.
13	Q. Do you think that would aid the
14	trier of fact here in reviewing that as you give
15	your testimony?
16	A. Sure. So what you see there I'll
17	just explain what you're looking at. You're
18	looking at dissolved oxygen concentrations. Do
19	you mind if I stand up?
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
21	MR. HELSTEN: Permission to approach
22	the screen, Mr. Halloran?
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
24	sorry, Mr. Helsten?

Page 43 1 MR. HELSTEN: Permission to approach 2 the screen? 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 4 permission granted. 5 BY THE WITNESS: So these are -- these are dissolved 6 Α. 7 oxygen concentrations with the lowest less than 8 half a part per million of dissolved oxygen in 9 groundwater in the glaciofluvial aguifer in the 10 center portion of the site and as you go away to get to the periphery wells you start seeing 11 12 dissolved oxygen concentrations get back to 13 background. I think in this particular one the 14 highest concentration is two parts per million, 15 but on the other -- some of the other sampling events the dissolved oxygen concentrations were as 16 17 high as four parts per million by the time you get 18 to the background. BY MR. HELSTEN: 19 20 Now, all of the investigation that 2.1 you did and the characterization and sampling you 22 did, did you reduce this to written or report form 23 and did you reduce that to the form of a technical memorandum? 24

Page 44 1 Α. Yes. So Exhibit A is our January 2 5th, 2017, technical report that essentially 3 summarizes a lot of the technical content of my 4 testimony to date and includes the sampling data 5 that we're talking about that occurred in August 6 and November. 7 (Document marked as Petitioner's Exhibit No. A for 8 9 identification.) 10 BY MR. HELSTEN: 11 Mr. St. John, you mentioned Exhibit, Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 12 13 Can you tell me what that is? 14 Α. It is the January 5th, 2017, report. 15 And was that technical memorandum Q. 16 prepared as support for the amended petition that 17 was filed in this matter before at the Board's 18 request on January 6th, 2017? 19 Yes, I just want to make a note that 20 the date on the front of that it says 2016, but it 2.1 was later corrected in correspondence to IEPA as 22 2017. 23 Okay. Now, Mr. St. John, did you 24 take steps to ensure that the data included in

Page 45 1 this report was accurate and reliable and truly 2 depicts and characterizes the physical conditions 3 that you observed and encountered? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Was the report assembled and 0. 6 prepared at your direction and under your direct 7 supervision? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Does the study that you conducted Q. 10 and the report that you assembled comport with generally recognized scientific and technical 11 12 standards and principles? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Did you personally review and 15 approve of the contents of the memorandum? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Q. Okay. 18 MR. HELSTEN: I would offer Exhibit 19 Α. 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 2.1 Helsten, so it should be January 5th, 2017? 22 MR. HELSTEN: It should be January 23 It says 2016. 6th, 2017. There is a typo. 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

	Page 46
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So
2	January 5th, 2017.
3	THE WITNESS: Correct.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think
5	what I'll do, and for the record, I'll just cross
6	out 2016, put in 2017.
7	Ms. Olson, do you have any
8	issues with that.
9	MS. OLSON: No, I would have no
10	objection for the exhibit to be admitted.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
12	you. And I'm changing the January 5th, 2017. All
13	right. So admitted.
14	MR. HELSTEN: Thank you.
15	BY MR. HELSTEN:
16	Q. Now, Mr. St. John, did you come up
17	with a proposed method of remediation that's
18	included in the technical memorandum that's
19	introduced as Exhibit A?
20	A. Yes, consistent with the request by
21	IEPA and the Board, we did a best available
22	technology evaluation and I believe that's
23	contained in Appendix C of that report and
24	identify air sparging as the best available

technology.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Very briefly, could you just explain for us, all of us here for the record actually rather than all of us here because I think we all here know what it is, could you explain how air sparging works, explain why it was proposed here as opposed to some other form of remediation?
- Α. So air sparging just involves installing a scintered diffusion point down to, in this case, the base of the glaciofluvial aguifer and you think of it almost like an air diffuser in a fish tank really. All you're trying to do is create a lot of very small bubbles and there is actually a better apparatus that are developed for industry to do than what you have in a fish tank. You know, very small bubbles that not only increase the area of the bubbles and provide a better ability for them to diffuse into the groundwater to create more dissolved oxygen, but they all fit -- the smaller the bubbles the better ability they have to fit through the pore throats of the sand and gravel clasps within the aquifer. So you basically drill down to

the base of the aquifer, about 30 feet in this

instance, you start sparging and these bubbles go up in kind of a V upward sort of a shape and proliferate through the groundwater and provide dissolved oxygen diffusion into the groundwater.

2.1

- Q. Why did you propose that here as opposed to bioremediation, chemical oxidation or some other form of possible remediation?
- A. Because the limiting factor here based on our analysis is the dissolved oxygen depletion in the shallow glaciofluvial sediments, all you really need is dissolved oxygen and the indigenous microorganisms will take care of the rest, they will use the low concentrations of organic contaminants as a food source and the dissolved oxygen will allow them to do that. It is naturally occurring. Like you said before, it's -- we're all breathing it in this room and it requires -- it's simple, it's cheap, it's probably the weapon of choice here.
- Q. As effective as bioremediation or chemical oxidation?
- A. Yeah, I think the literature supports -- my opinion the literature supports this. If you don't need to inject any

	Page 49
1	microorganisms to enact a biological remediation,
2	you shouldn't. You should just use indigenous
3	populations.
4	Q. Okay. And did you expand upon your
5	initial proposal in a technical memorandum later
6	in February, February 23rd, 2017?
7	A. I believe we did.
8	Q. I'm showing you what has been marked
9	as Exhibit B. Can you tell me what that is, if
10	you know, Mr. St. John?
11	(Document marked as Petitioner's
12	Exhibit No. B for
13	identification.)
14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	A. Yes. This is our February 23rd memo
16	that responded I believe to a further questioning
17	I believe it was on the part of IEPA to provide
18	additional details on our conceptual air sparging
19	approach.
20	BY MR. HELSTEN:
21	Q. And you thought it was appropriate
22	to respond to the Agency's inquiry, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And what did you outline in this

	Page 50
1	conceptual report just briefly?
2	A. That we would essentially install
3	air sparging points throughout the area of the
4	site that was depleted in dissolved oxygen. We
5	provided a schematic of what each of the air
6	sparging points would look like with the diffuser
7	and just a small system flow diagram.
8	Q. Was the report assembled, prepared
9	at your direction and under your direct
10	supervision?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you believe that the principles
13	and the matters you set forth in the report
14	generally comport with generally recognized
15	scientific and technical principles in your field?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Did you personally review and
18	approve of the contents of the technical
19	memorandum before it was submitted?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. HELSTEN: Offer Exhibit B.

MS. OLSON: We have no objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

22

23

24

Olson?

	Page 51
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
2	right. Exhibit B is admitted. Thank you.
3	MR. HELSTEN: Thank you.
4	BY MR. HELSTEN:
5	Q. Mr. St. John, did you conduct
6	another site investigation in March of 2017?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Please tell us when and what
9	you did and what what the purpose of that site
10	investigation was?
11	A. Well, we went back to the site and
12	we sampled the wells all the wells at the site
13	again to further corroborate our conceptual site
14	model and, again, the data supported the other two
15	sampling events.
16	Q. Did you reduce this to memorandum
17	form?
18	A. Yes, I believe we did.
19	Q. And did you also incorporate in that
20	memorandum responses to questions which have been
21	posed by this Board?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Was that prepared in March of
24	2017?

Page 52 1 Α. Yes. 2 Showing you what has been marked as Q. 3 Exhibit C, can you please take a look at that 4 document and tell me what it is, if you know? 5 (Document marked as Petitioner's 6 Exhibit No. C for 7 identification.) 8 BY THE WITNESS: 9 Yes, this is the March 2017 -- March Α. 10 17th, 2017, memo. BY MR. HELSTEN: 11 12 Q. What's included in there, Mr. St. John, just briefly? 13 14 Α. It's -- it's responses to the 15 February 23rd, 2017, Pollution Control Board 16 questions. 17 So these were questions posed by the Q. 18 Board on February 23rd, 2017? 19 That's correct. Α. 20 Those went to such issues as why air Q. 2.1 sparging was selected, why it was the best 22 available technology, correct, other closure --23 questions as to closure, questions as to how the 24 project would be deemed successful, correct?

Page 53 1 Α. Yes. 2 Did you also append to that the Q. 3 results of your 2017 site investigation? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. Did you take steps to ensure 0. 6 that the data included in the report was accurate 7 and reliable and truly depicts and characterizes 8 the physical conditions you observed and 9 encountered in March of 2017? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Was the report assembled and Q. 12 prepared at your direction and under your direct 13 supervision? 14 Α. Yes. 15 The principles that you laid out Q. 16 there in response to the Board's inquiry, do you 17 believe that they comport with generally accepted 18 scientific and technical principles in this area? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Lastly, did you personally review Q. 2.1 and approve of the contents of this memorandum? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. HELSTEN: Offer Exhibit C.

	Page 54
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
2	Olson, any objection with Exhibit C?
3	MS. OLSON: No objection. Thank
4	you.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
6	you. Exhibit C admitted.
7	BY MR. HELSTEN:
8	Q. Okay. Now, Mr. St. John, did you
9	receive any any comments in response to your
10	technical submission that's been marked March of
11	2017 that has been marked Exhibit C? Did EPA
12	respond, in other words?
13	A. I believe they did.
14	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you, to
15	refresh your recollection, what has been marked as
16	Exhibit E. Is this a report that you prepared?
17	(Document marked as Petitioner's
18	Exhibit No. E for
19	identification.)
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. Yes.
22	BY MR. HELSTEN:
23	Q. Okay. Could you tell us what that
24	report is, what it's intended to do? Take your

		Page	55
1	time if you need to review it.		
2	A. So the Illinois EPA submitted		
3	questions to us and these are our responses on		
4	February 23rd of 2017 and these pardon me		
5	were our responses.		
6	Q. Okay. Did the questions posed by		
7	the Agency include possible expansion of the air		
8	sparging regimen?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. Okay. Did you respond in this		
11	technical memorandum to those comments or those		
12	observations by the Agency?		
13	A. We did.		
14	Q. Okay. How did in what way?		
15	A. We we agreed with the Agency's		
16	comments and agreed to expand the air sparging		
17	system to include portions or include sparging		
18	within the setback of village well number two.		
19	Q. Why did you agree with Agency's		
20	observations?		
21	A. Because it really only made sense		
22	if to not only not only from a technical		
23	standpoint, but also to avoid any potential		

additional exceptions for setback related to

24

Page 56 1 village well number two. 2 You're there -- do it once rather 0. than come back and do it again, correct? 3 4 Α. Exactly. 5 And I think you just said you 0. 6 proposed additional air sparging wells, correct? 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Q. Are those depicted in Power Point 9 I would like you for the benefit of number 12? 10 all us just briefly review those. 11 Α. I believe they are, yes. 12 Q. Okay. Can you just briefly 13 summarize how this plan, your amended plan, the 14 most recent plan, differs from the original plan? 15 I think if you go back to the Α. 16 previous slide you can see up in --17 Previous slide is 11. Q. 18 Α. You can see in the upper portion 19 where it says zone one in the northern portion we 20 only had five sparge points. Now, if you go back into this -- into the slide number 12, which is 2.1 22 the attachment to this April 21st submittal, we've 23 significantly augmented the number of sparge

points up in zone one and actually zone one is now

24

	Page 57
1	even further north and instead of having five we
2	have something on the order of there is 15. So
3	we went from five sparge points to 15 sparge
4	points in the northern portion of the site.
5	Q. Okay. Mr. St. John, did you take
6	was a report assembled and prepared at your
7	direction and under your direct supervision?
8	A. The April 21st report?
9	Q. Yes, the one that is in your hands.
LO	A. Yes.
11	Q. And does the study that you put
12	together and the submission you put together
L3	generally comport with recognized scientific and
L4	technical principles in this area?
L5	A. I believe it does.
L 6	Q. Okay. Did you personally review and
L7	approve of the contents of this memorandum before
18	it was submitted?
L 9	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay.
21	MR. HELSTEN: I offer.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
23	you. Ms. Olson, any objection to Petitioner's
24	Exhibit E?

	Page 58
1	MS. OLSON: No objection.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
3	you.
4	BY MR. HELSTEN:
5	Q. And did the Board, thereafter, pose
6	additional questions to you, Mr. St. John, about
7	the calculation of the maximum alternate setback?
8	A. I think this was attached.
9	Q. Okay. Alternate setback and ask
10	you to submit some additional information
11	concerning your sparging proposal?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I'm handing you what has been marked
14	Exhibit F and asking if you're familiar with that
15	document?
16	(Document marked as Petitioner's
17	Exhibit No. F for
18	identification.)
19	BY THE WITNESS:
20	A. I am.
21	BY MR. HELSTEN:
22	Q. What is that document?
23	A. It is our response to the Pollution
24	Control Board's questions of May 9th, 2017.

Page 59 1 Okay. And was this -- again, was 0. 2 this assembled at your direction and under your 3 supervision? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And the matters set forth in there 0. 6 generally comport with recognized scientific and 7 technical standards in your field? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Do you feel the information included 10 in there is accurate and reliable and truly depicts and characterizes what you propose to do? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. Okay. Did you personally review and 14 approve of the contents of the memorandum before 15 it was submitted to the Board? 16 Α. Yes. 17 MR. HELSTEN: Offer F. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 19 Olson, any objection -- excuse me. Any objection 2.0 to Exhibit F? 2.1 MS. OLSON: No objection. 22 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 23 you.

24

BY MR. HELSTEN:

1 One last question, Mr. St. John, as 2 a matter of housekeeping. Did you put -- assemble 3 costs associated with your efforts in this -- in this case to date and also detail the effort that 4 5 you have gone to to ensure that the remedial 6 proposal that is being -- that's being requested 7 here for approval is accurate and reliable? 8 you put together an itemization of your cost and 9 your efforts? 10 Α. Yes. 11 I'm showing you what has been marked Q. 12 as Exhibit D. Can you tell me what that is? 13 (Document marked as Hearing 14 Exhibit No. D for 15 identification.) 16 BY THE WITNESS: 17 Α. It is the cost associated with the 18 amended petition that we prepared on April 19th, 2017. 19 2.0 BY MR. HELSTEN: 2.1 Does it -- and it outlines the Q. 22 effort that has gone into this project by St. John 23 Mittelhauser to date as well, all of the steps and

24

the detail, correct?

			Page	61
1	Α.	That's correct.		
2	Q.	Okay.		
3		MR. HELSTEN: Offer Exhibit D.		
4		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.		
5	Olson, any ol	ojection?		
6		MS. OLSON: No objection, but I have	7	
7	a question.	I just want to make sure that my		
8	Exhibit D is	the same as yours and the last page		
9	is the owner	operator and licensed professional		
10	engineer geo	logist budget certification form?		
11		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's		
12	mine.			
13		THE WITNESS: That's what is on		
14	here.			
15		MR. HELSTEN: Yes. That's right,		
16	Joanne.			
17		MS. OLSON: Okay. Thank you. No		
18	objection.			
19		MR. HELSTEN: Thank you.		
20		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Admitted.		
21	How much lone	ger do you have?		
22		MR. HELSTEN: I think I have		
23	about			
24		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We can go)	

Page 62 1 off the record. 2 (Whereupon, a break was taken 3 after which the following 4 proceedings were had.) 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 6 Helsten. 7 MR. HELSTEN: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. 8 BY MR. HELSTEN: 9 10 Mr. St. John, consistent with your 11 amended sparging plan, did you calculate the 12 maximum feasible alternative setbacks for wells 13 number one and two? Yes. 14 Α. 15 Okay. How did you do that? Q. 16 tell us briefly how you did and tell us what they 17 are. 18 Α. They're outlined in our May 12th, 19 Simply the closest sparging point to 2017, memo. 20 each of the two municipal wells, the -- the distance from municipal well two is 370 feet and 2.1 22 the distant from the emergency backup well number 23 one was 30 feet. 24 Okay. And well number one is the Q.

well that is not currently utilized for potable water service, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Why, in your opinion, could these alternative setbacks not be increased to a greater distance?
- A. Well, because the area where the dissolved oxygen is depleted is around the area where the previous releases occurred from the underground storage tanks from the 1989 release. That's where the contamination exists. It would do no good to sparge air up gradient of this site to provide dissolved oxygen to further reduce this contamination because the dissolved oxygen up gradient of the site presumably is at about its solubility limit because this is a sand gravel aquifer.

It's receiving direct

precipitation infiltration and probably has -- as

that precipitation infiltration enters the aquifer

about 11 parts per million of dissolved oxygen and

it -- you know, the highest we've seen in

background concentrations is about four parts per

million and so there is a lot of other organic

	Page 64
1	matter, fertilizers, you know, and various other
2	organic matters that microorganisms are using
3	dissolved oxygen to metabolize on the way to get
4	into the site and it wouldn't do any good to
5	sparge any place else.
6	Q. How long is it anticipated that your
7	air sparging remediation exercise will take?
8	A. I believe we estimated from
9	Q. Is that figure included in your
10	March 17th, 2017, response to the Board's
11	questions?
12	A. It is, I believe.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. I believe we estimated a total
15	duration of the remediation process, including
16	demonstration of plume stability and reaching the
17	GROs I should say, of 24 to 30 months.
18	Q. Okay. And is reaching the GROs in
19	this case the measure of success
20	A. Yes.
21	Q that defines mission
22	accomplished?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Just very briefly, does your

proposal or your responses to the Pollution

Control Board's questions of February 23rd, 2017,

include a proposal as to how the air sparging

wells will be closed and abandoned, how this site

will be wrapped up?

A. Actually, that's not in the February

- A. Actually, that's not in the February 23rd. I believe that's in the March 17th.
 - Q. I'm sorry. March.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

24

- A. March 17th memo. It's under item

 9A. When we get the ball across the goal line, so
 to speak, the wells will be abandoned, the

 wells -- modern wells and air sparging wells will
 be abandoned according to the Illinois Department
 of Public Health and DeKalb County Health
 Department requirements.
- Q. In its March -- in its February 23rd, 2017, questions posed to Blake Leasing, the Board asked if you took into consideration the potential for contaminate rebound once the system has been turned off --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- have you done that?
- A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. In what regard? How did you take

Page 66 1 that into consideration? 2 Α. Yeah, we -- yes, we did and we 3 indicated we would continue to operate the 4 sparging system. 5 If -- if what? 0. 6 Α. If the GROs weren't met. 7 Ο. We're almost done, Mr. St. John. 8 Mr. St. John, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific and technical 9 10 certainty as to whether the amended petition as 11 supported by your plan will utilize the best 12 available technology? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. What is your opinion? 15 Α. My opinion is that the air sparging 16 system that we've outlined would be the best 17 available technology. 18 And what's the basis for your Q. 19 opinion? 20 The basis is the fact that the Α. 2.1 organics, the benzene and the PNAs are only 22 occurring at the very low concentrations just 23 barely above the GROs right now because there is 24 insufficient dissolved oxygen for the

	Page 67
1	microorganisms to continue to metabolize them and
2	basically get rid of them.
3	Q. Okay. By the way, one question, you
4	have defined the nature and extent of this plume,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And that was submitted in one of
8	your prior technical submissions to the Board,
9	correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And your plan applied is
12	applied to that plume and its location, correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. So when you answer all these
15	questions, it includes a reference an implied
16	reference to the location of that plume, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Mr. St. John, do you have an
19	opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific and
20	technical certainty as to whether the remedial
21	approach that you're proposing will minimize the
22	likelihood of contamination of well one and two?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And what is your opinion?

Α.	I believe	it is	the best	t remedial
measure to	minimize the	conta	amination	n the
potential o	contaminatior	on we	ells one	and two.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Why? What's the basis for your opinion?
- A. Well, currently the contamination isn't migrating across the property boundary. The dissolved oxygen is depleted within the aquifer, which is the only reason why these minor concentrations of benzene and PNAs even show up in the onsite modern wells right in and around the area where the previous releases occurred and it is my opinion that once we provide the dissolved oxygen to the microorganisms the remainder of the organics will be attenuated.
- Q. So you don't think your proposal in any way will exacerbate the nature, extent or concentration of the plume of contamination or in any way threaten wells one or two?
 - A. No. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. Mr. St. John, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific and technical certainty as to whether your proposal will constitute a hazard to these two potable

	_	_		_
WC	N T	1	C	7
we	L	Ш	S	

2.1

A. I do not believe it will pose a hazard, no.

Q. Why? What's your basis?

- A. Well, all we're doing is introducing ambient air oxygen, compressed air to the groundwater system and resupplying the dissolved oxygen that would otherwise be in the groundwater system if it weren't for the microbial activity. These are all indigenous microbial populations that are performing all this. We're not -- you know, we're not augmenting them in any way. So this is simply -- it's about as natural as a remediation would get.
- Q. And you've touched on this before, but just to be safe, do you have an opinion as to whether the maximum feasible alternative setback is being utilized in connection with your remediation proposal in wells number one and two?
- A. Yes, I believe the outlined distances that we previously provided in our May 12th memorandum summarizes those maximum distances.
 - Q. Okay. And you think those are the

	Page 70
1	maximum setback distances you can achieve?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Why can't you go further out?
4	A. Well, as I touched on this before, I
5	don't believe it would do any good. We need to
6	provide the dissolved oxygen to the indigenous
7	microorganism population in or around where these
8	organic contaminants currently occur on the site.
9	Q. Okay. And, lastly, do you have an
10	opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific and
11	technical certainty as to whether strict
12	application of the setback requirement would pose
13	an arbitrary and unreasonable hardship upon Blake
14	Leasing in its efforts to remediate?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. What is your opinion?
17	A. Well, it would it would render
18	the remedial measures ineffective, number one.
19	Q. You mean if you were to remediate
20	outside the setback zone?
21	A. Correct, the 400-foot setback.
22	Q. Please continue.
23	A. And it would be it would be
24	and any other it may require other remedial

	Page 71
1	measures to be employed and they would certainly
2	be more costly and potentially even more not as
3	natural so to speak as the air sparging
4	remediation.
5	Q. So you're saying any other so
6	you've looked at other proposals and they would
7	cost a lot more money, correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And they may not be as effective,
LO	correct?
L1	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Okay. And you think the only
L3	effective way from a physical and cost point of
L 4	view to address the the contamination is to go
L5	within the setback zones?
16	A. That's correct.
L7	Q. And you you believe you have put
18	into place all of the protections that are
L 9	necessary to comport with the requirements of
20	Section 14.2 of the act?
21	A. I believe we have.
22	Q. Okay.
23	MR. HELSTEN: That's all.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank

	Page 72
1	you, Mr. Helsten.
2	MR. HELSTEN: I tender the witness.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
4	Olson, any questions for Mr. St. John?
5	MS. OLSON: No. No, we don't have
6	any questions at this time. Thank you.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
8	right. Thank you. I think what we'll do is take
9	about a 15-minute break and we'll meet back here
10	at, I don't know, what do you think ten to 12:00?
11	Around there.
12	MR. HELSTEN: Sure.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
14	you.
15	(Whereupon, a break was taken
16	after which the following
17	proceedings were had.)
18	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's
19	about 12:05 and I think Member Zalewski has a
20	question for Mr. Helsten. Member Zalewski?
21	MS. ZALEWSKI: Yes, thank you. Just
22	a question regarding the filing receipts on May
23	18th. That was the notice that you mailed to CT
24	Corporation System. So just a couple of questions

Page 73 1 mostly -- I guess first most about the timing of 2 the letter sent five days before the hearing, if 3 you can speak to that, and I'm also going to ask 4 you -- we're trying to fully understand who is at 5 play here. I know you addressed it to CT 6 Corporation and it says -- you mentioned a 7 registered agent of Soo Line, doing business as 8 Canadian Pacific Railway. So just making sure the 9 right person is served. So do you want to speak 10 to that? 11 MR. HELSTEN: Sure. So as you get 12 ready, I'm going to be very candid, we have 13 dialogued with Canadian Pacific Railway and I can 14 in a post-hearing brief submit e-mail 15 communications with them. They were aware of this for some time. We've had other communications 16 17 with them, but as you get ready, like everything 18 else, you know, one time I had to present -- a 19 ceiling of 100 days prior to trial and I said for 20 the 90 days prior to trial you do nothing and the 2.1 last 10 days you go full bore. 22 I looked at 14.2 in pari materia 23 with 14.3. Okay. The reason we have always 24 noticed from the get-go on this case, simply the

Page 74

Village of Kirkland, is the reference in 14.3 I always thought was instructive, Ms. Zalewski, that talked about either the owner of the well field or the county or municipality that used the well field. Okay. Focusing on that language.

2.1

In this particular case, the municipality which uses well number one to the extent they use it as reflected in Mr. St. John's testimony is the Village of Kirkland. This well is on the railroad's property. It's leased as we indicated in one of our submissions by the Village of Kirkland from the railroad. Erring on the side of caution, even though I have always thought that the intent of the notice provision to give notice to owners and operators in 14.2 is to give it to the impacted or affected entity which would be the Village of Kirkland because they designated it as a potable water service well. We from the get-go gave notice to them.

I thought why not give notice as well to the owner so that we have complied in all respects with the notice provisions of 14.2 when read in pari materia with 14.3. The notice provisions -- I understand the timeliness issues,

Page 75

1 but the notice provisions unlike all those other 2 ones that you are intimately familiar like I am in 3 the act that describe a minimum date period, there 4 is no minimum date period for the notice 5 provisions in 14.2 unlike citing statute, unlike 6 other statutes where they say it must be served 7 you know, X days before the hearing. 8 So I figured let's err on the 9 side of caution. Let's submit to the registered 10 agent for Canadian Pacific a notice of this That's why I did it. Now, do they have 11 hearing. 12 actual notice as opposed to legal notice? Yes, 13 and we can submit e-mails in a post-hearing

submission that we were dialoging with them last year on a possibility of lifting the designation of this well. Very candidly lifting -- I'm going

to tell you very candidly. Lifting the

designation of a community water supply well for

well number one, lifting that designation because

20 we asked the Village and the Village said "Well,

21 no, we don't think so, but you can talk to the

22 owner as well." So we were dialoging with the

owner.

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

I sent it to the registered

Page 76 1 agent because I think that's who I should have 2 sent it to. It's the Soo -- Canadian Pacific is 3 shown as the owner of the parcel upon which the 4 well is located, but of course as you aptly 5 pointed out our search of the Secretary of State's record indicated that Canadian Pacific Railroad is 6 7 simply a business dial, it's a d/b/a for Soo Line. 8 MS. ZALEWSKI: Okay. If you could 9 submit a post-hearing brief, I would appreciate 10 that. 11 MS. OLSON: Yeah, sure. 12 MS. ZALEWSKI: I think that would be 13 helpful. 14 MR. HELSTEN: Sure. 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Also in 16 the interim I may put CT Corp on the service list. 17 MR. HELSTEN: Yes, and we have been 18 sending things to them. 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Correct. 2.0 MS. ZALEWSKI: But it's your 2.1 understanding they were aware of today's hearing 22 in advance of today's hearing? 23 MR. HELSTEN: In advance of today's 24 hearing?

Page 77 1 MS. ZALEWSKI: Yes. So CT 2 Corporation knew about today's hearing? It's your 3 understanding they were aware? 4 MR. HELSTEN: They knew about it as 5 of last year because I thought I better tell them about the hearing, but they knew we were going to 6 7 a hearing. They did not know the specific date 8 until last Wednesday or Thursday. 9 MS. ZALEWSKI: Okay. 10 MR. RAO: Just for point of clarification when you mentioned that you had 11 12 prior correspondence with them, you used the word 13 them, is that with the --14 MR. HELSTEN: Canadian Pacific. 15 MR. RAO: Not CT Corporation? MR. HELSTEN: Not CT. That's an 16 17 important point because we were talking to people 18 in the real estate and acquisitions and 19 divestiture group at that point in time, which was 2.0 outside Illinois. So I figured for purposes under 2.1 the service provisions and requirements generally 22 of the Illinois Administrative Code I served the 23 registered agent in Illinois for these purposes 24 even though we have dialog in the past with

```
Page 78
 1
     headquarters.
 2
                  MR. RAO:
                            Okay.
                                    Thank you.
 3
                  MR. HELSTEN:
                                 That took place last
     summer or last fall. We will submit that in a --
 4
 5
     those e-mail.
 6
                  MR. RAO: Did they express any
 7
     concern or any interest?
 8
                  MR. HELSTEN: They just said no.
 9
     That's it.
10
                  MR. KRUSE:
                             No to --
11
                  MS. ZALEWSKI:
                                  They said no to what?
12
                  MR. HELSTEN:
                               No, we don't want to
13
     drop the designated --
14
                  MS. ZALEWSKI:
                                  Okay.
15
                  MR. HELSTEN: Go forward with your
16
     petition.
17
                  MR. RAO:
                            Thank you.
18
                  MS. OLSON:
                              The Agency would just
19
     like to note that Section 14.2(c) of the statute
20
     requires notice on the owner of the well and
2.1
     Section 106.302 of the Board's procedural rules
22
     only require notice on the owner of the potable
23
     water supply.
                       So there was some confusion I
24
```

Page 79 1 think about proper service and this issue came up 2 as we were preparing and we realized that the well 3 is actually owned by someone other than the supply. 4 5 MR. HELSTEN: Well one. 6 MS. OLSON: So that's just our two 7 cents on this matter. MR. HELSTEN: Well one is --8 9 Ms. Zalewski, well one is owned by the railroad 10 leased to the village, but as Mr. St. John said he doesn't -- it's pumped once a year, you know, just 11 12 to flush it. He doesn't believe it's been used 13 for decades. MS. ZALEWSKI: At least wells two 14 15 and three you've confirmed is owned by the 16 village? 17 MR. HELSTEN: Yes. 18 MS. ZALEWSKI: Okay. And there is 19 no other owner or operator? 2.0 There is no other. MR. HELSTEN: 2.1 And that's in our original technical submission --22 the first technical memorandum that is appended to 23 our amended petition. It outlines who owns the 24 wells and who operates. Wells two and three are

```
Page 80
1
     owned and operated solely by the Village.
2
                  MS. ZALEWSKI:
                                 Okay.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: For the
4
     record, Mr. Helsten isn't sworn in, but --
5
                  MR. HELSTEN:
                                Everything I said I
     would say consistent with my -- I would make a
6
7
     professional statement consistent with my oath to
     tell the truth as an officer of the court.
8
9
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
10
     right.
11
                  MS. ZALEWSKI: I think a post
12
     hearing brief will be helpful, though, to shed
13
     light on these issues. So, thank you.
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Member
14
15
     Zalewski, any further questions?
                  MS. ZALEWSKI: I think that's all I
16
17
     have.
18
                  MR. KRUSE: I wanted to add one
19
     clarification.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Liu,
2.1
     any questions of Mr. St. John?
22
                  MS. LIU: Member Zalewski asked
23
    mine.
                                  I'm sorry.
24
                  MS. ZALEWSKI:
```

Page 81 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. 2 Kruse? 3 MR. KRUSE: So I think this question 4 is for Mr. St. John. As you were going through 5 your presentation regarding the wells and the geology of the site, I believe I heard you say 6 7 that well number two has the capability of drawing 8 water from above the aquitard, so that's the 9 Glenwood Shale layer, and you also mentioned that 10 the Village alternates between well number two and well number three. 11 12 I'm interested in detail about that schedule of alternating. You touched on it a 13 14 little bit with your testing, but I'm interested 15 in more detail about the alternating, but I'm also interested in what would -- some clarification 16 17 about whether well two can draw from above the 18 shale aguitard and in what cases it would do so. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. So that 2.0 Glenwood Shale is a shale that separates the 2.1 bedrock units, the Galena-Platteville Dolomites 22 above from the St. Peter Sandstone --23 MR. KRUSE: Sure. 24 THE WITNESS: But above the bedrock

Page 82 1 is the silty clay glacial till, you know, deposit 2 from the continental glacials. So it's silty --3 that's really what our testing involved. 4 testing was above that silty clay glacial till in 5 the sand and gravel glaciofluvial sediments where the contamination exists from the KOS site and we 6 7 installed a monitor -- monitoring well in the 8 glaciofluvial sand and gravel aquifer and watch to 9 see if there was any sort of a water level decline in reaction to pumping well number two, which is 10 completed in those bedrock units. 11 12 MR. KRUSE: So in no case would water be drawn from above the Glenwood Shale for 13 drinking purposes? 14 15 THE WITNESS: Well, no. Well, 16 number two does have portions of its intake above 17 the Glenwood Shale in the Galena-Platteville 18 bedrock, but it's still all bedrock. I think we 19 might be making too big of a deal about the 2.0 Glenwood Shale. 2.1 MR. KRUSE: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: It really -- it really 23 is -- in terms of separation of the bedrock 24 aquifers, it's a good aquitard. There is not a

Page 83 1 lot of vertical conductance between the 2 Galena-Platteville bedrock unit, the dolomites 3 above it, and the St. Peter Sandstone below. 4 Glenwood Shale for the most part inhibits that 5 vertical connection between those bedrock units. 6 Okay? 7 MR. KRUSE: Mm-hmmTHE WITNESS: But the well -- well 8 9 number two actually, you know, is drilled through all those units. So it's getting water from, you 10 know, both the Galena-Platteville and the 11 12 St. Peter Sandstone. All right? 13 MR. KRUSE: Mm-hmm. 14 THE WITNESS: And then it's the 15 glacial till silty clay that sits on top of all 16 that bedrock that separates the shale sand and 17 gravel aguifer from a direct height vertical 18 hydraulic connection to the bedrock aquifers. 19 MR. KRUSE: And the sand and 2.0 shale -- or the sand and gravel is where the contamination is? 2.1 22 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 23 MR. KRUSE: Got it. And just 24 alternating between well number two and well

Page 84 1 number three if you have any idea what that is. 2 THE WITNESS: They typically pump --3 there is not -- it's not like it's on a timer or 4 anything, but it typically pumps -- they cycle 5 between wells two and wells -- the third well that is outside of the town to the west they pump them 6 for about five to seven days at a time and then, 8 you know, they -- and those really actually 9 perform a backup for each other because if something -- you know, if something needs to get 10 serviced on well three maybe it's going to take 11 12 two weeks, they just, you know, run well two for 13 that entire period of time and if they need to do 14 any work to the infrastructure and the piping, the 15 distribution system, same -- same answer. 16 I mean, those two wells really 17 just provide a backup for one another and they're capable of producing -- when they pump them, 18 19 they'll pump them for three or four hours at a 20 time to fill up the water tower and they're pumping somewhere between, you know, 200 -- it's 2.1

MR. KRUSE: Thank you.

a lot of water. They're very prolific yielders.

in my report 275 to 340 gallons per minute.

22

23

24

	Page 85
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any
2	further questions from Chicago? Ms. Olson, you
3	know Mr. Helsten rested. Any questions of this
4	witness?
5	MS. OLSON: No, your Honor.
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
7	Any closing arguments or do you want to reserve
8	for post hearing?
9	MR. HELSTEN: I would reserve as we
10	usually do, Mr. Halloran, for post-hearing brief.
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
12	Olson?
13	MS. OLSON: The Agency probably will
14	not be filing a post-hearing brief. At this time
15	we would just like to restate what we said in our
16	response filed in January that the Agency
17	recommends that the Illinois Pollution Control
18	Board grant the relief.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
20	For the record, May 29, I think the transcript
21	Mr. Brickey will have it ready and filed with the
22	Board. Let's go off the record for a minute.
23	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
24	off the record.)

Page 86 1 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're 2 back on the record. We have decided that the 3 transcript will be due May 30th and there is going to be simultaneous briefs due on June 30th, 2017. 4 5 Public comment is due on June 16th, 2017, and any 6 reply from Mr. Helsten is due July 7th and the 7 record will close on July 7th. Anything else? Okay. Thank you, counsel. 8 9 Thank you everyone in Springfield and Chicago. 10 Until next time. 11 MR. HELSTEN: Thank you. 12 MS. OLSON: Thanks. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24

```
Page 87
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
1
2
                         ) SS.
3
     COUNTY OF COOK
                         )
4
5
           I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
     Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
6
7
     shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
8
     aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
9
     complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
     of said trial as appears from my stenographic
10
11
     notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
12
     direction.
13
           Witness my official signature in and for
     Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
14
15
      ____, A.D., 2017.
16
17
18
19
20
                          STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
2.1
                          8 West Monroe Street
                          Suite 2007
22
                          Chicago, Illinois 60603
                          Phone: (312) 419-9292
                          CSR No. 084-004675
23
24
```

a.m 1:17 AA 27:11	advised 5:24 8:17 Advisor 4:18,20	analytical 29:23 30:18	38:12,15,16 39:14 40:14 43:9 47:10	32:16 35:7 37:14 41:16,21 42:5
abandoned 15:17	aforesaid 87:8	Anand 2:13 4:19	47:22,24 63:17,20	44:5
65:4,11,13	age 33:5	ancient 34:20	68:8 82:8 83:17	available 46:21,24
abbreviation 41:8	agency 1:7 2:7 4:7	announce 4:15	aquifers 38:11	52:22 66:12,17
ability 36:5 37:1	8:5 16:1,4,12 55:7	anomaly 12:11,11	82:24 83:18	Avenue 2:3,8
40:1 47:18,21	55:12 78:18 85:13	12:23	aquitard 28:22	avoid 55:23
able 36:4 39:7	85:16	answer 67:14 84:15	37:2 81:8,18	aware 73:15 76:21
above-entitled 1:12	Agency's 49:22	answered 15:3	82:24	77:3
accepted 53:17	55:15,19	antecedent 32:9	arbitrary 70:13	B
accomplished	agent 73:7 75:10	anticipated 64:6	area 9:20 14:4	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B} 3:7,12 \ 21:18 \ 49:9 \end{bmatrix}$
15:14 64:22	76:1 77:23	anyway 6:5	23:20 25:6 30:9	4 9:12 50:21 51:2
accurate 13:11	agree 55:19	apologies 41:13	39:11,14,16 47:17	bachelor's 22:1
41:23 45:1 53:6	agreed 16:21 55:15	apparatus 47:14	50:3 53:18 57:14	back 7:10,18,18
59:10 60:7	55:16	Appeared 2:6,10	63:7,8 68:12 areas 12:14 13:15	11:16 20:11 27:16
achieve 70:1	ahead 19:10 aid 24:18 42:13	appears 16:5 87:10 append 53:2	13:19 14:1,9	31:11 32:17 36:10
acquired 7:8,12,13	air 14:22 15:8 16:9	append 33.2 appended 8:7	arguments 85:7	41:19 43:12 51:11
7:13	16:17 17:14,15	79:22	aromatic 29:14	56:3,15,20 72:9
acquisitions 77:18	46:24 47:5,8,11	Appendix 46:23	39:5	86:2
act 8:19,19 11:2	49:18 50:3,5	application 70:12	arsenic 9:23 22:18	background 21:24
14:24 15:11 17:6 71:20 75:3	52:20 55:7,16	applied 8:8,11	aside 22:16	39:18 43:13,18
acting 8:15	56:6 63:12 64:7	67:11,12	asked 65:18 75:20	63:23
acting 8.13 action 7:23 23:2	65:3,12 66:15	appreciate 76:9	80:22	backup 10:17,17
27:11	69:6,6 71:3	approach 8:1 14:21	asking 58:14	27:23 33:9 62:22
activity 37:14 69:9	Alisa 2:13 4:19	42:21 43:1 49:19	aspire 40:8	84:9,17
actual 75:12	allow 48:15	67:21	assemble 60:2	bailer 29:10 39:10
add 80:18	alternate 58:7,9	appropriate 8:5	assembled 14:16	bailers 29:21
addition 15:18	alternates 81:10	49:21	45:5,10 50:8	Balke 4:5
38:20 39:6	alternating 81:13	approval 7:24 8:4,9	53:11 57:6 59:2	ball 65:10
additional 12:24	81:15 83:24	8:11 60:7	assigned 4:4	barely 66:23
37:11 49:18 55:24	alternative 14:22	approve 45:15	associated 60:3,17	base 28:1 47:10,24
56:6 58:6,10	15:9 17:2 18:6	50:18 53:21 57:17	assumed 7:15	based 10:24 11:18
additionally 39:3	62:12 63:5 69:17	59:14	attached 7:21 58:8	13:4 14:6,18
address 16:10 17:9	alternatively 12:4	approved 7:22	attachment 56:22	30:16 39:22 48:9
17:10 71:14	ambient 17:15 69:6	approximate 34:24	attack 13:24	basically 25:18 39:15 47:23 67:2
addressed 73:5	amend 9:3	approximately 4:9	attending 5:21	basis 31:6,12 66:18
adequate 14:11	amended 9:8,10	24:9 26:1,16,23	attenuated 68:15	66:20 68:4 69:4
adjacent 38:8,9	14:17,17 16:24	28:1,5,7,9 34:16	attenuation 13:23	bearing 13:22
adjusted 8:13	44:16 56:13 60:18	35:21	39:21	32:20
administrative	62:11 66:10 79:23	April 8:21 56:22	attorney 4:18,19	bedrock 31:16
7:20 20:18 77:22	amount 7:18 36:5 40:2	57:8 60:18 aptly 16:4 76:4	5:2,19 augmented 56:23	34:19 35:18 38:11
admitted 46:10,13			. »VIIIEIIIE(16) / 1	

20.45.04.54.54	
38:15 81:21,24	51:21 52:15,18
82:11,18,18,23	58:5 59:15 65:18
83:2,5,16,18	67:8 85:18,22
beginning 19:10	Board's 4:13 11:3
behalf 2:6,10	15:12 16:23 44:17
believe 8:16 11:11	53:16 58:24 64:10
12:1 15:4 16:15	65:2 78:21
25:17 27:1 33:12	bore 73:21
40:10 42:12 46:22	boring 26:14
49:7,16,17 50:12	borings 37:20
51:18 53:17 54:13	boundary 68:7
56:11 57:15 64:8	Box 2:3,8
64:12,14 65:7	Brad 4:2
68:1 69:2,20 70:5	Bradford 5:20
71:17,21 79:12	BRADLEY 1:13
81:6	break 20:7 62:2
believed 37:9	72:9,15
believes 17:4	breathing 17:14,16
benefit 21:23 56:9	48:17
benzene 24:2 29:15	Brickey 2:19 20:13
37:12 39:4,5,12	21:9 85:21 87:5
40:11 66:21 68:10	87:20
best 14:22 15:9	brief 6:24 73:14
16:10 46:21,24	76:9 80:12 85:10
52:21 66:11,16	85:14
68:1	briefly 21:22 23:19
better 10:16 13:7	47:2 50:1 52:13
47:14,18,20 77:5	56:10,12 62:16
big 82:19	64:24
biological 49:1	briefs 86:4
bioremediation	bring 14:1,2
48:6,20	bubbles 47:13,16
bit 22:13 33:11	47:17,20 48:1
81:14	budget 61:10
bits 13:8	bulk 23:14
Blake 1:4 5:2 6:23	Bureau 5:15
7:4,6,7,7 8:4,8,17	Burke 2:11 4:17
8:23 15:3 17:16	business 73:7 76:7
24:7 32:6 65:17	business / 5.7 / 0.7
70:13	C
Board 1:1,14 2:11	C 2:1 3:13 8:19
4:4,11,16 6:20	21:14 46:23 52:3
7:19 8:24 9:2,3,9	52:6 53:24 54:2,6
12:12 14:19 15:2	54:11
17:22 20:17 46:21	calculate 62:11
17.22 20.17 70.21	
	ı

calculation 58:7 California 22:11 call 10:16 13:1 20:12 21:5 30:15 called 21:12 camera 18:16,18 Canadian 10:14,15 73:8,13 75:10 76:2,6 77:14
candid 73:12 candidly 75:16,17 capability 81:7 capable 84:18 capacity 8:14 carbon 40:5,6 care 48:12
career 21:24 CARRIE 2:12 case 4:4 6:23 7:5 47:10 60:4 64:19 73:24 74:6 82:12 cased 35:2 cases 11:1 34:8
81:18 casing 33:18,20 34:3,6,7,7 cause 1:12 36:7 caused 7:6 12:22 37:9 caution 74:13 75:9
CC 27:1 ceiling 73:19 center 28:2 43:10 cents 79:7 ceptor 40:22 certain 12:13 13:2 14:9 24:22
certainly 71:1 certainty 66:10 67:20 68:23 70:11 certification 61:10 Certified 87:5 certify 87:6 Chad 2:15 4:20 19:12,23

```
changing 46:12
characterization
 35:7 41:17 43:21
characterizes 45:2
 53:7 59:11
CHARLES 2:2
Charter 23:12
cheap 48:18
chelsten@hinsha...
 2:5
chemical 48:6,21
Chicago 1:15 85:2
 86:9 87:22
chlorinated 23:14
choice 48:19
Chuck 5:1 6:22
citing 75:5
city 10:22 11:24
 23:4,16 25:23
 26:1,6 27:12,14
 27:22 30:19,20,22
 31:1,24 32:2 36:3
city's 33:10
clarification 27:4
 41:8 77:11 80:19
 81:16
clasps 47:22
Class 12:16,18
 13:15 14:2.13
 40:12 41:10
clay 28:6 37:1 82:1
 82:4 83:15
clear 42:9
client 23:12
close 12:14 23:15
 31:18 86:7
closed 15:15 65:4
closest 62:19
closing 85:7
closure 15:12,15
 52:22,23
Code 77:22
collected 35:22
collects 33:23 34:11
come 18:22 23:15
```

```
46:16 56:3
comment 16:2 86:5
comments 11:18
  16:16 54:9 55:11
 55:16
communications
 73:15,16
community 8:3
  10:6 19:20 75:18
Company 1:4 4:5
compiled 42:10
complete 20:1 87:9
completed 35:23
 82:11
completion 23:1
compliance 12:17
complied 74:21
comport 45:10
 50:14 53:17 57:13
 59:6 71:19
compounds 39:17
compressed 69:6
computer 18:2,18
  19:5 20:2
concentration
  17:12 39:4 43:14
 68:18
concentrations
 29:11,13 37:12
 39:18,18 40:10,20
 41:4 42:18 43:7
 43:12,16 48:13
 63:23 66:22 68:10
conceptual 14:21
 30:15 40:3 41:24
 49:18 50:1 51:13
concern 78:7
concerning 58:11
conclude 13:20
concluded 29:17
conclusion 39:20
condition 7:24
conditions 45:2
 53:8
conduct 41:17 51:5
```

conductance 83:1	66:3 67:1 70:22
conducted 4:12	continued 7:15,16
12:19 45:9	contractor 37:19
conducting 41:15	Contractors 8:22
conference 5:18	Control 1:1,14 4:4
configuring 20:1	52:15 58:24 65:
confirm 13:2	85:17
confirmed 14:8	convenience 7:4
15:21 79:15	conveyance 18:11
confusion 78:24	conveying 18:16
conjunction 36:24	Cook 87:3,14
connected 33:9	copies 20:4
connection 31:14	_
35:17 36:18 38:10	Corp 76:16
	Corporation 72:2
69:18 83:5,18 consider 28:22	73:6 77:2,15
	correct 24:7,8
consideration	38:18,24 46:3
65:18 66:1	49:22 52:19,22,2
consistent 9:1 11:1	56:3,6,7 60:24
46:20 62:10 80:6	61:1 63:2,3 67:5
80:7	67:12,13,16 70:2
constitute 68:24	71:7,8,10,11,16
consultant 9:6 25:9	76:19 83:22 87:
consultant's 29:6	corrected 44:21
consultants 7:17	corrective 7:23
8:24 13:5	correctly 8:17
contained 46:23	correlated 13:19
contaminant 39:22	correlation 40:16
contaminants	correspondence
48:14 70:8	44:21 77:12
contaminate 65:19	corroborate 41:2
contamination	51:13
9:23 12:14,15	corroborated 40:
13:8,9,15,24 14:9	42:5
16:6,19 22:18,20	cost 60:8,17 71:7
23:9 38:17 39:12	71:13
40:18 63:11,14	costly 71:2
67:22 68:2,3,6,18	costs 60:3
71:14 82:6 83:21	counsel 4:20 5:11
content 39:8 44:3	8:15,16 86:8
contents 24:22 25:1	county 7:3 65:14
45:15 50:18 53:21	74:4 87:3,14
57:17 59:14	couple 72:24
continental 82:2	course 9:16 10:3,
continue 13:24	11:20 76:4

court 80:8
create 47:13,19
criteria 14:24 17:5
criterion 15:10
critical 17:5
critique 9:7
cross 25:11,22 26:5
26:8,24 27:11,14
27:21,22 28:2
29:2 33:19 34:13
34:18,22 46:5
CSR 2:19,20 87:20
87:23
CT 72:23 73:5
76:16 77:1,15,16
Culbertson 2:2 5:2
curious 12:10,11
currently 22:10
23:11 63:1 68:6
70:8
cycle 84:4
cyclical 30:24 31:5
D
D 3:1,16 21:14
60:12,14 61:3,8
d/b/a 76:7

cyclical 30:24 31:5				
D				
D 3:1,16 21:14				
60:12,14 61:3,8				
d/b/a 76:7				
data 10:4 25:9,12				
29:5,18 30:8,10				
30:17,18 35:10				
42:1,3,5,5,10 44:4				
44:24 51:14 53:6				
date 20:19 44:4,20				
60:4,23 75:3,4				
77:7				
day 1:16 23:1 87:14				
days 31:6,8,24 32:3				
36:13 73:2,19,20				
73:21 75:7 84:7				
deal 23:5 82:19				
dealing 14:7				
decades 7:11,11,11				
7:11 79:13				
December 13:2				
14:6 15:22				

decided 86:2
decline 36:20,22 82:9
Decorah 28:12 34:8
deemed 15:13,14
52:24
deep 24:4 32:21
deeper 27:10
defined 16:7,8 67:4
defines 64:21
degree 22:1 66:9
67:19 68:22 70:10
DeKalb 7:3 8:3
65:14
demonstration
64:16
department 10:20
23:10 30:19,20
33:1 65:13,15
depict 27:8
depicted 34:13 56:8
depicts 45:2 53:7
59:11
depleted 39:14 40:9
40:21 50:4 63:8
68:8
depletion 40:13
48:10
deposeth 21:13
deposit 82:1
deposits 32:20
depth 28:10 33:7
33:21,23 34:10
derive 40:1
describe 35:19 75:3
designated 10:10
33:2 74:17 78:13
designation 75:15
75:18,19
detail 60:4,24
81:12,15
detailed 26:16
details 49:18
detections 38:22
39:6

•
determinations
29:12 39:7
determine 12:22
39:8,11
determined 36:13
determining 29:11
developed 11:22 12:1 26:15 29:5
30:14 35:16 47:14
diagram 50:7
dial 76:7
dialog 77:24
dialoging 75:14,22
dialogued 73:13
diameter 34:6
differs 56:14
diffuse 47:18
diffuser 47:11 50:6
diffusion 47:9 48:4
direct 3:4 36:18
38:10,14 40:15
45:6 50:9 53:12
57:7 63:18 83:17
direction 24:15
45:6 50:9 53:12
57:7 59:2 87:12
directly 13:19
director 8:15 32:24
disappear 18:9
disappeared 18:14
discern 8:10 38:4
discussion 85:23
dissolved 13:20
39:8,13,17,24
40:2,7,13,17,20
42:18 43:6,8,12
43:16 47:19 48:4
48:9,11,15 50:4
63:8,13,14,21
64:3 66:24 68:8
68:13 69:7 70:6
distance 62:21 63:6
distances 69:21,23
70:1
distant 33:13,14

62:22	early 7:8,12 16:24	23:2,6 54:11 55:2	58:14,17 59:20	felt 15:8	
distribution 84:15	easier 26:24	equilibrium 32:7	60:12,14 61:3,8	Ferguson 23:10	
diurnal 32:13	east 2:8 22:10	36:4	exist 34:23 39:17	ferric 41:1	
divestiture 77:19	25:23	Ergo 8:19	exists 38:17 63:11	ferrous 41:1,2	
document 44:7	easy 26:18	err 75:8	82:6	fertilizers 64:1	
49:11 52:4,5	ECI 8:22 29:8,9	Erring 74:12	expand 17:11 41:8	fewer 39:4,4	
54:17 58:15,16,22	30:10 35:12	escapes 15:5	49:4 55:16	field 23:16 25:6,24	
60:13	educational 21:23	essentially 22:7	expanded 14:21	30:8 50:15 59:7	
documentation	effect 36:14 39:15	31:19 42:3 44:2	expansion 55:7	74:3,5	
35:12	effective 48:20 71:9	50:2	expansive 28:14	fields 9:21,22 22:14	
documents 8:10	71:13	estate 77:18	experience 9:20	figure 27:9 64:9	
doing 18:19 32:13	effects 32:14	estimated 64:8,14	11:1 21:24 22:13	figured 75:8 77:20	
37:18 69:5 73:7	effort 35:7 38:1	evaluate 31:13	23:20	file 8:18 9:8	
Dolomite 28:11,12	60:4,22	36:24	explain 42:17 47:2	filed 8:10,20 14:18	
28:12 33:22 34:1	efforts 60:3,9 70:14	evaluation 46:22	47:5,6	14:20 16:21 44:17	
34:5,8,21	eight 42:11	evapotranspirati	express 78:6	85:16,21	
dolomites 81:21	eight-inch 34:6,6	32:15	extensive 9:19	filing 72:22 85:14	
83:2	either 8:11,14 74:3	event 15:5	extent 16:9 67:4	fill 12:5 31:8,10	
door 31:20	electron 40:23	events 43:16 51:15	68:17 74:8	84:20	
Dr 22:5	electronic 40:22	everybody 4:2		filled 12:7	
draw 81:17	elevated 13:3 14:8	evidence 10:7		findings 37:21 38:4	
drawdown 38:7	38:24 41:3 42:6	exacerbate 17:12	F 2:2 3:15 58:14,17	fine 6:4 19:1,17	
drawing 27:24 81:7	elevation 34:24	68:17	59:17,20	first 7:17 8:20 10:2	
drawn 82:13	else's 10:17	exactly 31:3 56:4	facility 7:2,4,8,12	11:7 20:12 21:12	
drill 47:23	emergency 27:22	Examination 3:4	fact 11:23 24:19	25:2,4 39:9 73:1	
drilled 33:17 34:19	33:8 62:22	example 33:4	42:14 66:20	79:22	
83:9	employed 71:1	exceed 12:16 13:15	factor 48:8	fish 47:12,15	
drilling 37:20	enact 49:1	exceedance 14:13	fair 29:17	fit 47:20,21	
drinking 11:2	encountered 45:3	exceedances 13:18	fall 78:4	five 28:9 31:6,7	
82:14	53:9	13:18 14:2 37:10	familiar 58:14 75:2	36:13 56:20 57:1	
drop 78:13	encroach 16:17	exception 4:8 23:23	far 22:10,11 24:23	57:3 73:2 84:7	
due 9:11 13:3 86:3	energy 40:2	24:13 42:4	fashion 30:24	flashed 18:1	
86:4,5,6	engineer 10:21	exceptions 23:21	42:10 f ₂₀ 4 :25:5	flow 30:16 37:4,6,7	
duly 21:12	33:1 61:10	24:4 55:24	fast 35:5	39:7 50:7	
Dunaway 2:16 5:12	ensure 44:24 53:5	excess 13:4 14:6,10	feasible 17:1 62:12	flush 79:12	
5:13,14	60:5	excuse 11:16 42:8	69:17	flushed 10:23 11:4	
duration 64:15	enters 63:20	59:19	February 14:20,20	fluvial 34:23	
	entire 9:15 20:18	exercise 42:2 64:7	15:4,4 49:6,6,15	Focusing 74:5	
	84:13	exhibit 3:11,12,13	52:15,18 55:4	follow-up 12:20,21	
E 2:1,1 3:1,7,14	entirety 34:9	3:14,15,16 44:1,8	65:2,6,16	14:5 15:20,21	
21:14,14 54:16,18	entitled 4:5	44:11,12 45:18	feel 6:18 23:17 59:9	following 20:8 62:3	
57:24	entity 74:16	46:10,19 49:9,12	feet 28:5,7,9 31:21	72:16	
e-mail 73:14 78:5	Environmental 1:7	50:21 51:2 52:3,6	33:23 34:10 35:1	follows 21:13	
e-mails 75:13	2:7 4:6 8:22	53:24 54:2,6,11	36:19 47:24 62:21 62:23	food 48:14	
earlier 23:3	EPA 5:11 8:12 22:4	54:16,18 57:24	02.23	foregoing 87:8	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

form 17:13 28:10	Geothink 8:23 29:6	grant 8:12 85:18	80:3,9,14,20 81:1	21:15 28:17 30:1		
37:1 43:22,23	30:11 35:13	granted 21:1 43:4	85:1,6,10,11,19	30:6 41:6,12,14		
47:7 48:7 51:17	get-go 73:24 74:18	gravel 28:5,9 47:22	86:1	42:21,24 43:1,19		
61:10	getting 23:5 83:10	63:16 82:5,8	halo 39:15	44:10 45:18,21,22		
formation 40:24	gist 16:15	83:17,20	hand 21:8	46:14,15 49:20		
forth 7:18 14:24	give 42:14 74:14,15	Great 19:16	handing 58:13	50:21 51:3,4		
25:12 26:4 31:11	74:20	greater 39:19 63:5	handle 18:5	52:11 53:24 54:7		
50:13 59:5	given 12:6 18:5	greatest 40:1,2	hands 57:9	54:22 57:21 58:4		
fortuitous 39:24	19:4	green 28:15	happened 8:7	58:21 59:17,24		
forward 35:5 78:15	glacial 28:6,11 34:3	GRO 41:9	hardship 70:13	60:20 61:3,15,19		
found 32:23 33:1	37:1 82:1,4 83:15	GROs 40:12,12	hazard 68:24 69:3	61:22 62:6,7,9		
four 14:23 17:5	glacials 82:2	64:17,18 66:6,23	head 30:20	71:23 72:1,2,12		
27:19 35:13 39:19	glaciofluvial 31:14	groundwater 5:14	headquarters 78:1	72:20 73:11 76:14		
43:17 63:23 84:19	31:15 32:12,20	12:16,18 13:9,11	Health 65:14,14	76:17,23 77:4,14		
Frank's 23:7	35:17,24 36:7,12	13:16,22 14:12	hear 18:11	77:16 78:3,8,12		
fringe 13:1 16:19	36:15,23 38:8,11	22:5 23:9 29:5,14	heard 81:6	78:15 79:5,8,17		
front 44:20	38:15,16 39:14	29:18,22,23 30:16	hearing 1:12,13 4:1	79:20 80:4,5 85:3		
full 73:21	40:14 43:9 47:10	30:18 31:17 37:3	4:3,10 5:4,8,16	85:9 86:6,11		
fully 73:4	48:10 82:5,8	37:4 38:17,22	6:2,14,17 9:12	Hi 5:10 17:21		
further 23:2 49:16	Glenwood 28:15,18	39:9 40:21 41:3,4	17:19,23 20:5,10	hide 18:19		
51:13 57:1 63:13	28:20 35:2 81:9	41:11,21 43:9	20:20,21,24 21:3	high 29:11,13		
70:3 80:15 85:2	81:20 82:13,17,20	47:19 48:3,4 69:7	21:7 29:24 30:3	43:17		
	83:4	69:8	41:5 42:20,23	highest 22:22 43:14		
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$	go 8:2 9:14 19:10	group 77:19	43:3 45:20 46:1,4	63:22		
gain 36:5	20:5 21:22 23:17	guess 7:4 13:6 17:7	46:11 50:22 51:1	highlighted 10:8		
Galena 28:11 33:22	27:16,16,19 31:11	23:17 73:1	54:1,5 57:22 58:2	highlights 9:17		
33:24 34:4,9 Galena-Platteville	33:10 43:10 48:1	guys 18:13 19:21	59:18,22 60:13	Hinshaw 2:2 5:1		
28:13 34:21 81:21	56:15,20 61:24	H	61:4,11,20,24	historical 10:4		
82:17 83:2,11	70:3 71:14 73:21	H 3:7	62:5 71:24 72:3,7	history 35:11		
gallons 84:22	78:15 85:22	half 43:8	72:13,18 73:2	hit 9:17		
garner 42:1	goal 65:10	Halloran 1:13 4:1,2	75:7,11 76:15,19	holding 17:23		
garner 42.1 garnered 14:12	goes 26:1 27:24	4:24 5:4,8,16,23	76:21,22,24 77:2	hole 33:22 34:10		
general 4:20 8:15	34:3	6:2,14,17 9:12	77:6,7 80:3,9,12	35:3		
8:16	going 6:7,9 7:10	17:19 20:5,10,15	80:14,20 81:1	Honor 85:5 Honorable 1:13		
generally 45:11	9:10 18:3,6 20:11	20:21,24 21:3,6,7	85:1,6,8,11,19			
50:14,14 53:17	54:14 73:3,12 75:16 77:6 81:4	29:24 30:3 41:5	86:1	hooked 10:22 11:5		
57:13 59:6 77:21	84:11 86:3	42:20,22,23 43:3	height 83:17 help 24:13	hoping 19:8 hour 1:17		
generated 30:10,10	good 4:1 5:10 6:20	45:20 46:1,4,11	helpful 76:13 80:12	hours 84:19		
geological 25:7	17:21 63:12 64:4	50:22 51:1 54:1,5	Helsten 2:2 3:4	house 31:21		
26:11,14,17 29:1	70:5 82:24	57:22 58:2 59:18	4:22,24 5:1,6,9,19	housekeeping 60:2		
30:8 35:10	grade 34:11,24	59:22 61:4,11,20	5:22 6:3,6,10,12	hydraulic 30:21		
geologist 61:10	gradient 63:12,15	61:24 62:5,8	6:13,16,19,22	31:12,14 35:17		
geology 22:2 25:5	graduate 22:3	71:24 72:3,7,13	17:20,23 20:3,11	36:18 38:6,10		
28:4 81:6	Grand 2:8	72:18 76:15,19	20:14,15 21:2,5	83:18		
	31 unu 2.0	<u> </u>	20.11,1021.2,0	55.10		
L	1	ı	ı			

include 55:7,17,17	instruction 9:3	7:1 8:6 9:6,12,19	18:4,10,15,23	lifting 75:15,16,17
incised 34:20	instance 48:1	John 3:2 5:7,7 6:10	Kruse 2:15 4:20	licensed 61:9
incident 7:14 24:3	37:20 47:9	job 26:17	KQS 82:6	License 2:20
impossible 39:10	installing 35:19	18:12 19:4 61:16	22:17	40:16,17
77:17	35:21 38:8 82:7	Joanne 2:7 5:11	Kokomo 9:24	13:19 14:9 38:24
important 38:13	31:20 33:18 34:5	JENNIFER 2:11	known 28:13	levels 12:15 13:3,11
implied 67:15	installed 26:15	85:16	knowledge 33:12	36:20,22 82:9
impermeable 28:19	install 31:17 50:2	45:21,22 46:2,12	84:21 85:3	35:23 36:11,15,19
impede 37:2	53:16	14:18 44:1,14,18	83:11 84:8,10,12	32:4,6,10,14 35:1
impacts 16:11	inquiry 49:22	January 9:11,11	79:11 82:1 83:9	level 13:10 14:11
impacting 23:9,15	15:6	J 2:19	73:5,18 75:7 77:7	letter 23:2 73:2
74:16	inquiries 14:19	J	64:1 69:12 72:10	75:8,9 85:22
impacted 11:8	inject 48:24		49:10 52:4 63:22	let's 20:5 32:17
32:5 35:20	initial 49:5	itemization 60:8	32:5 47:5,16	legal 75:12
immediately 30:23	inhibits 83:4	item 65:9	know 4:14 17:24	34:13,22
illustrating 42:9	inherited 7:7 17:8	52:20 74:24 80:13	knew 77:2,4,6	left 27:13,13,13
illustrated 27:5	84:14	issues 42:7 46:8	10:22	led 39:20
85:17 87:1,14,22	infrastructure	issued 23:2	Kirkland's 10:10	Leasing's 8:23
65:13 77:20,22,23	58:10 59:9	issue 79:1	33:3 74:1,9,12,17	24:7 65:17 70:14
25:7,8 26:13 55:2	information 31:3	41:2,2,3	25:23,23 26:13	8:8,17 15:3 17:16
22:23 23:2,8 24:1	influenced 29:20	40:23,24,24 41:1	11:14,24 25:6,13	6:24 7:4,6,7,8 8:4
7:2,3 8:23 22:2,4	influence 36:6,7	iron 29:13 40:20,23	5:20 7:2,10 10:14	Leasing 1:4 4:5 5:3
2:4,7,9 4:3,6 5:11	63:20	involves 47:8	Kirkland 1:8 4:7	79:10
Illinois 1:1,7,14,16	infiltration 63:19	involved 8:6 82:3	kind 36:6,7 48:2	leased 10:13 74:10
46:21 49:17	ineffective 70:18	51:6,10 53:3	Kim 8:14	31:3
14:19 16:12 44:21	industry 47:15	37:23 41:16 43:20	KATIE 2:16	learned 10:18 12:2
11:2,18 12:13	Industrial 23:8	investigation 35:6	K	leading 13:20 32:12
IEPA 7:22 8:11	70:6	introducing 69:5	,	38:21,22
identify 46:24	48:12 49:2 69:10	46:19	June 86:4,5	lead 29:13 37:10
29:12 32:13	indigenous 39:23	introduced 13:21	July 24:12 86:6,7	laying 42:9
identified 28:14	66:3 74:11 76:6	introduce 4:23	Johnson 23:24	layer 81:9
54:19 58:18 60:15	indicated 38:6,9,21	intimately 75:2	John's 20:16 74:8	late 11:18 15:4
44:9 49:13 52:7	22:17,19 23:11	interview 10:19	80:21 81:4	lastly 53:20 70:9
identification 3:9	Indiana 9:23,24	23:13	68:21 72:4 79:10	laptop 19:15
idea 84:1	increased 63:5	International	66:7,8 67:18	language 74:5
i.e 29:20	47:17	intermittently 31:7	60:1,22 62:10	laid 42:10 53:15
I	increase 17:11	interim 76:16	54:8 57:5 58:6	laboratory 29:12
	incorporate 51:19	81:12,14,16	49:10 51:5 52:13	L
24:14 25:5	including 64:15	interested 32:5	44:11,23 46:16	
hydrogeology 22:3	includes 44:4 67:15	interest 78:7	30:7 32:18 41:15	84:24
21:21 22:8	53:6 59:9 64:9	intent 74:14	21:11,18,20 24:6	83:7,13,19,23
hydrogeologist	44:24 46:18 52:12	intended 54:24	17:3 19:19 21:6,8	81:3,23 82:12,21
29:15	15:11 25:15,19	intake 82:16	15:2,7,19 16:7	78:10 80:18 81:2
hydrocarbons 24:2	included 10:9	insufficient 66:24	12:2,9,19 14:5,15	19:24 27:3,18
hydrocarbon 39:5	65:3	instructive 74:2	9:22 10:1,3,8,18	19:2,4,8,13,16,18

75.10		00.14.22	(7.21.69.2	122.10 40 11
75:19	M	80:14,22	67:21 68:2	need 23:18 48:11
light 80:13	M 21:14	members 2:11 6:21	minimum 75:3,4	48:24 55:1 70:5
likelihood 67:22	machination 33:11	12:12	minor 68:9	84:13
limit 63:16	Madison 23:11	memo 49:15 52:10	minute 17:22 20:6	needed 8:17 13:13
limited 8:13	mailed 72:23	62:19 65:9	84:22 85:22	24:4
limiting 48:8	majority 14:3,4	memorandum	mission 15:13	needs 12:7 84:10
line 65:10 73:7 76:7	making 73:8 82:19	14:16 43:24 44:15	64:21	nice 26:12
Lisle 22:23	manager 10:20	45:15 46:18 49:5	Mittelhauser 5:7	nine 42:11
list 76:16	22:24	50:19 51:16,20	60:23	north 2:8 28:3
literature 48:22,23	March 15:7,20,24	53:21 55:11 57:17	Mm-hmm 83:7,13	30:23 32:6 35:20
little 22:13 81:14	51:6,23 52:9,9	59:14 69:22 79:22	mobility 29:16	57:1
Liu 2:13 4:19 80:20	53:9 54:10 64:10	memory 15:5	model 30:15 40:4	northern 56:19
80:22		mentioned 22:17	41:24 51:14	57:4
LLC 1:4 4:5	65:7,8,9,16	35:6 44:11 73:6	modern 65:12	note 44:19 78:19
LLP 2:2	Marie 2:14 4:21	77:11 81:9	68:11	noted 12:13 16:4
located 7:2 37:16	marked 3:9 44:7,12	met 22:17 30:18	money 71:7	21:1 37:11 38:23
76:4	49:8,11 52:2,5	35:13 66:6	monitor 32:10 82:7	
	54:10,11,15,17			notes 87:11
location 26:4 67:12	58:13,16 60:11,13	metabolize 40:3,8	monitoring 31:17	notice 7:20 20:18
67:16	Massachusetts	64:3 67:1	32:10,11 37:4	72:23 74:14,14,19
Lockformer 22:23	22:11	method 29:10	82:7	74:20,22,23 75:1
22:24	materia 73:22	39:10 46:17	Monroe 87:21	75:4,10,12,12
log 26:14	74:23	methodologies 29:5	months 64:17	78:20,22
long 64:6	matter 6:8 8:24	29:20	morning 4:2,10	noticed 4:10 13:14
longer 61:21	11:23 20:16,19	methods 13:10 37:5	5:10 6:20 17:21	73:24
longstanding 7:9	23:22 24:2,7,17	microbes 13:23	motion 1:12	November 12:22
look 10:3 26:24	44:17 60:2 64:1	microbial 39:23	municipal 26:2	15:22 41:19 44:6
29:1 50:6 52:3	79:7	69:9,10	35:1 36:20 62:20	number 10:12
looked 10:5 35:9,10	matters 22:21	microorganism	62:21	11:10,11,15,21
35:11 71:6 73:22	50:13 59:5 64:2	70:7	municipality 74:4,7	12:3,3 13:12
looking 12:10 27:4	maximum 17:1	microorganisms	mute 18:24	16:18 25:19,24
42:17,18	36:5 58:7 62:12	40:5 48:12 49:1		26:2,13,20 27:12
lot 44:3 47:13		64:2 67:1 68:14	N	27:14,23 28:4
63:24 71:7 83:1	69:17,22 70:1	microscopic 13:8	N 2:1 3:1 21:14,14	30:22 31:19 32:1
84:23	mayor 10:21	middle 27:11	name 4:2 5:11,13	32:4 33:4,8 34:2
low 13:10,19 14:11	mean 19:19 35:1	Midwest 22:9	6:22 21:16 29:6	34:15 35:9,10,11
37:4,6,7 39:7	70:19 84:16	migrating 68:7	named 23:12	35:13,20,22 36:1
40:10,16 48:13	measure 64:19 68:2	migrating 03.7	natural 13:23,23	36:3,9,21 37:15
66:22	measurements	39:22	69:13 71:3	38:9 42:11 55:18
lower 33:24 39:4	35:23	mile 11:24 26:2	naturally 48:16	56:1,9,21,23
	measures 70:18		nature 16:8 67:4	, , ,
40:16,17	71:1	32:1 34:16	68:17	62:13,22,24 69:19
lowest 43:7	measuring 36:11	million 39:19 43:8	Naugle 30:19 31:2	70:18 74:7 75:19
luck 8:5	meet 17:5 72:9	43:14,17 63:21,24	near 33:13	81:7,10,11 82:10
LUST 7:14,23	meeting 31:2	mind 42:19		82:16 83:9,24
Lynn 2:16 5:12,13	Member 4:16,17,17	mine 61:12 80:23	nearly 34:20 39:10	84:1
	9:2,9 72:19,20	minimize 16:10	necessary 71:19	

	l	i	l	1
0	official 87:13	opening 6:7,15,24	owns 79:23	84:9
O 21:14	offline 31:9	9:18 17:20 18:1	oxidation 48:6,21	performance 30:21
oath 80:7	Ohio 23:12	operate 31:4,7	oxygen 13:20,21	performed 37:8,17
objection 20:22,23	Oil 23:13	33:11 66:3	17:15 39:8,13,17	performing 23:16
46:10 50:24 54:2	Okay 6:2 18:8 19:2	operated 23:13	39:24 40:3,7,14	69:11
54:3 57:23 58:1	19:7 22:12 24:16	36:12 80:1	40:17,21 42:18	period 32:9 75:3,4
59:19,19,21 61:5	24:21 25:11 26:9	operates 79:24	43:7,8,12,16	84:13
61:6,18	28:24 33:16 37:13	operator 79:19	47:19 48:4,9,11	periphery 43:11
objective 41:11	37:21 38:19 39:2	operators 74:15	48:15 50:4 63:8	permission 42:21
observable 36:22	42:1 44:23 45:17	opinion 9:2 48:23	63:13,14,21 64:3	43:1,4
38:7	49:4 51:8,23 53:5	63:4 66:8,14,15	66:24 68:8,14	person 73:9
observations 55:12	53:23 54:8,14,23	66:19 67:19,24	69:6,8 70:6	personal 87:11
55:20	55:6,10,14 56:12	68:5,13,22 69:16		personally 45:14
observe 37:14	57:5,16,20 58:9	70:10,16	P	50:17 53:20 57:16
observed 37:18	59:1,13 61:2,17	opposed 47:7 48:6	P 2:1,1	59:13
45:3 53:8	62:15,24 64:13,18	75:12	P.O 2:3,8	personnel 4:15
obtain 8:4 13:11	64:24 66:14 67:3	order 9:9 57:2	Pacific 10:14,15	37:17
obtaining 23:21	67:11,18 68:21	organic 39:12,16	73:8,13 75:10	pertained 10:6
Obviously 9:13	69:24 70:9,16	39:22 40:5,6	76:2,6 77:14	Peter 28:16 34:1,12
occupation 21:19	71:12,22 73:23	48:14 63:24 64:2	page 3:3 61:8	35:4 81:22 83:3
occur 70:8	74:5 76:8 77:9	70:8	Papadimitriu 2:16	83:12
occurred 9:7 44:5	78:2,14 79:18	organics 40:3,4,8	4:17	petition 6:12 7:22
63:9 68:12	80:2 81:19 82:21	66:21 68:15	papers 19:21	8:8,18,20,21 9:4,8
occurring 48:16	83:6 85:6,19 86:8	original 7:22 8:8,24	paraphrasing	9:10 11:18 14:17
66:22	old 34:19	56:14 79:21	16:14	14:17 44:16 60:18
occurs 39:12	Olson 2:7 4:23 5:9	outline 49:24	parcel 15:24 76:3	66:10 78:16 79:23
offer 45:18 50:21	5:10,11 6:8 17:20	outlined 14:21 15:7	pardon 25:22 55:4	petitioner 1:5 2:6
53:24 57:21 59:17	17:21 18:8,13,20	30:21 31:12,22	pari 73:22 74:23	4:5 5:2 6:23 8:3
61:3	18:24 19:3,12,14	62:18 66:16 69:20	Park 2:3	petitioner's 20:19
officer 1:14 4:1,3	19:17 20:22,23	outlines 60:21	part 15:23 17:17,17	44:7 49:11 52:5
5:4,8,16 6:2,14,17	30:1,2,5 46:7,9	79:23	20:19 40:6 43:8	54:17 57:23 58:16
9:12 17:19 20:5	50:23,24 54:2,3	outlining 6:7	49:17 83:4	Phone 87:22
20:10,21,24 21:3	57:23 58:1 59:19	outside 22:10 31:10	participating 6:1	physical 45:2 53:8
21:7 29:24 30:3	59:21 61:5,6,17	31:20 34:15 35:20	particular 43:13	71:13
41:5 42:20,23	72:4,5 76:11	36:2 39:16 70:20	74:6	physically 8:2
43:3 45:20 46:1,4	78:18 79:6 85:2,5	77:20 84:6	parts 39:19 43:14	picture 41:24
46:11 50:22 51:1	85:12,13 86:12	overlying 28:5	43:17 63:21,23	piping 84:14
54:1,5 57:22 58:2	once 10:23,24 11:4	owned 79:3,9,15	pathway 38:14	Piskin 22:6
59:18,22 61:4,11	11:5 14:10 16:21	80:1	Paul 23:24 30:19	place 32:16 35:7
61:20,24 62:5	56:2 65:19 68:13	owner 74:3,21	PCB 1:6 4:7	64:5 71:18 78:3
71:24 72:3,7,13	79:11	75:22,23 76:3	Pecatonica 23:8	plan 6:6 7:23 15:13
72:18 76:15,19	ones 75:2	78:20,22 79:19	people 77:17	15:16 17:1 35:16
80:3,8,9,14,20	onsite 38:22 68:11	owner/operator	percent 20:1	56:13,13,14,14
81:1 85:1,6,11,19	open 7:14 33:22	61:9	Perfect 18:20	62:11 66:11 67:11
86:1	34:10 35:3	owners 74:15	perform 24:5 31:13	Platteville 28:12
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		urt Poportors		

	ī	-		
34:1,12	possible 18:5 48:7	56:16,17 63:9	proliferate 48:3	77:20,23 82:14
play 73:5	55:7	68:12	prolific 84:23	Pursuant 9:9
please 21:9,17	post 16:2 80:11	previously 27:10	proper 8:4 79:1	put 7:5 13:7 46:6
25:18 41:9 51:8	85:8	38:23 69:21	properly 4:11	57:11,12 60:2,8
52:3 70:22	post-hearing 73:14	primarily 32:15	property 10:15	71:17 76:16
pleased 4:15	75:13 76:9 85:10	primary 11:13	11:9 37:16 68:7	
plume 16:6,9 17:11	85:14	30:24	74:10	Q
17:12,13 38:17	potable 22:15 63:1	Prime 27:1,12	proposal 49:5	quality 14:11
64:16 67:4,12,16	68:24 74:18 78:22	principles 45:12	58:11 60:6 65:1,3	quantities 28:21
68:18	potential 16:11	50:12,15 53:15,18	68:16,23 69:19	quarter 26:2 34:17
plus 41:1,2,2	30:16 55:23 65:19	57:14	proposals 71:6	question 16:6 60:1
PNAs 24:2 29:14	68:3	prior 13:5 30:9	propose 48:5 59:11	61:7 67:3 72:20
37:12 39:13 40:11	potentially 71:2	31:24 32:3,7	proposed 8:1 11:8	72:22 81:3
66:21 68:10	Power 19:6,9,14	35:12 36:1 67:8	16:16 46:17 47:6	questioning 49:16
pockets 12:13	24:17,21 25:16	73:19,20 77:12	56:6	questions 15:2,12
point 9:5 19:6,9,14	42:11 56:8	private 9:21 22:15	proposing 67:21	16:3,24 51:20
24:18,21 25:16	practically 31:18	22:21	Protection 1:7 2:7	52:16,17,23,23
27:6 29:19 42:11	practicing 22:8	probability 29:17	4:6	55:3,6 58:6,24
47:9 56:8 62:19	precipitation 63:19	probably 6:11,20	protections 71:18	64:11 65:2,17
71:13 77:10,17,19	63:20	12:12 16:5 19:20	provide 9:13 24:14	67:15 72:4,6,24
pointed 11:19	precisely 15:8	22:21 33:15 48:18	47:17 48:3 49:17	80:15,21 85:2,3
16:12 76:5	predominantly	63:19 85:13	63:13 68:13 70:6	quick 7:3 41:7
points 13:12 50:3,6	22:9	problem 7:6,7 9:24	84:17	quickly 18:5 19:9
56:20,24 57:3,4	prehearing 5:18	17:8,9,10,18	provided 50:5	quite 22:20 25:21
Pollution 1:1,14	preliminary 20:16	18:21	69:21	33:10
4:3 52:15 58:23	24:17	problems 37:11	providing 36:2	
65:1 85:17	prepare 25:11 29:2	procedural 4:13	provision 74:14	R
polynuclear 29:14	prepared 7:5 24:17	78:21	provisions 8:12,13	R 2:1 21:14
39:5	25:9 44:16 45:6	procedure 31:22	74:22,24 75:1,5	Rabczak 2:15 4:18
population 39:23	50:8 51:23 53:12	proceed 20:13	77:21	railroad 10:14,15
70:7	54:16 57:6 60:18	proceeding 11:20	proximity 12:14	11:9 37:16,19,20
populations 49:3	preparing 79:2	proceedings 1:11	public 9:21 10:22	74:12 76:6 79:9
69:10	presence 7:9	20:9 62:4 72:17	11:1,3 22:14,20	railroad's 74:10
pore 47:21	present 73:18	87:7,9	33:3 65:14 86:5	Railway 73:8,13
portion 28:2 33:21	presentation 20:20	process 32:8 40:7	publications 25:7	raise 21:8
33:24 34:4 43:10	24:18,22 25:16	64:15	published 22:6	Ralph 22:5
56:18,19 57:4	81:5	producing 84:18	pull 19:6	ran 9:22,24 32:9
portions 55:17	PRESET 2:14	production 11:13	pump 30:21 31:4	Randolph 1:15
82:16	pressure 36:10	professional 21:21	84:2,6,18,19	Rao 2:13 4:19
pose 58:5 69:2	presumably 8:9	61:9 80:7	pumped 79:11	19:23 41:7 77:10
70:12	63:15	profile 22:22	pumping 31:23	77:15 78:2,6,17
posed 51:21 52:17	presume 10:24	program 7:23	82:10 84:21	re- 24:11
55:6 65:17	pretty 26:18	project 22:24 24:15	pumps 84:4	reach 16:5 32:7
position 17:7	previous 25:9	25:3,10 52:24	purpose 51:9	36:4
possibility 75:15	30:17 34:18 37:10	60:22	purposes 42:8	reaching 64:16,18
1			1 1	
	•	•	•	•

4. 02.10	1: 72.22	4.4.4.10		114.22
reaction 82:10	regarding 72:22	request 44:18	reviewing 30:7,9	satisfied 14:23
read 74:23	81:5	46:20	42:14	15:10
ready 25:21 73:12	regimen 55:8	requested 60:6	rid 67:2	saturates 28:8
73:17 85:21	registered 73:7	require 31:17	right 5:17,22 7:1	saw 12:10 15:22
real 23:23 77:18	75:9,24 77:23	70:24 78:22	9:17 16:13,16	23:1 40:15
realized 29:9 79:2	regulations 11:3	requirement 70:12	19:6,15 21:1,8	saying 71:5
really 23:17 36:14	17:7	requirements 11:2	27:7,23 29:7 30:4	says 19:24 44:20
39:9 40:11 47:12	reinvent 9:14	65:15 71:19 77:21	31:20 33:20 34:14	45:23 56:19 73:6
48:11 55:21 82:3	related 22:6,18,19	requires 48:18	39:1 40:19 46:13	schedule 81:13
82:22,22 84:8,16	25:5 28:4 30:17	78:20	51:2 61:15 66:23	schematic 50:5
reason 68:9 73:23	32:15 55:24	reserve 85:7,9	68:11 72:8 73:9	scientific 45:11
reasonable 66:9	relation 25:12	resident 23:10	80:10 83:12	50:15 53:18 57:13
67:19 68:22 70:10	release 63:10	respects 7:9 74:22	River 23:12 34:20	59:6 66:9 67:19
reasons 15:8 40:9	releases 63:9 68:12	respiratory 40:7	Road 23:10	68:22 70:10
rebooting 19:23	reliable 45:1 53:7	respite 12:6	Rockford 2:4 23:4	scintered 47:9
rebound 32:7 36:4	59:10 60:7	respond 49:22	Ron 5:7 21:6	screen 18:1 33:20
65:19	relief 85:18	54:12 55:10	Ronald 3:2 21:11	34:14 42:22 43:2
recall 8:9 9:10	remain 6:3	responded 15:6	21:18	sea 35:1
receipts 72:22	remainder 68:14	16:3 49:16	room 1:15 48:17	search 76:5
receive 37:21 54:9	remedial 8:1 60:5	Respondent 1:9	routine 31:12	seated 6:3
receives 35:3	67:20 68:1 70:18	2:10	rules 4:11,13 78:21	second 11:10,17
receiving 63:18	70:24	response 15:12	run 84:12	13:14 32:17
recognized 45:11	remediate 70:14,19	16:22,22,23 53:16	runs 25:22 28:3	Secretary 76:5
50:14 57:13 59:6	remediating 7:16	54:9 58:23 64:10		section 5:14 8:18
recollection 54:15	remediation 9:20	85:16	S	17:5,6 22:5 25:12
recommended	11:8 22:14 24:5	responses 51:20	S 2:1 3:7	25:22 26:8 27:1
15:19	41:11 46:17 47:7	52:14 55:3,5 65:1	safe 11:2 69:16	27:14,21,22 28:2
recommends 85:17	48:7 49:1 64:7,15	responsibility 7:16	saith 21:13	29:2 33:19 34:14
record 7:19,20 9:15	69:14,19 71:4	rest 48:13	sample 14:11,12	34:18,22 71:20
16:13,14,14,15	remediations 23:16	restart 18:3	37:22 41:20	78:19,21
20:6,11,18 21:17	remember 8:16	restate 85:15	sampled 13:5 42:6	sediments 31:15,16
29:19 41:9 46:5	29:7	rested 85:3	51:12	34:4,23 35:24
47:3 62:1 76:6	render 70:17	result 14:10	sampling 13:7	36:15 48:10 82:5
80:4 85:20,22,24	reply 86:6	results 14:8 15:23	15:20,21 29:4,10	see 28:1 34:22 41:3
86:2,7	report 1:11 43:22	37:22 38:5 53:3	29:20,21 37:3,5,6	41:20 42:16 56:16
records 10:6 29:1	44:2,14 45:1,5,10	resupplying 69:7	37:7,18 38:5 39:7	56:18 82:9
30:10	46:23 50:1,8,13	retained 9:6 24:6	41:21 42:2,7	seeing 18:17 27:7
reduce 37:8 43:22	53:6,11 54:16,24	24:10,10,12,12,13	43:15,21 44:4	43:11
43:23 51:16 63:13	57:6,8 84:22	review 24:14 25:4	51:15	seeking 23:20
reduced 13:12 41:1	reported 2:18 87:6	30:12 38:1,5	sand 28:5,8 47:22	seen 14:9 63:22
reference 67:15,16	Reporter 87:6	45:14 50:17 53:20	63:16 82:5,8	selected 52:21
74:1	reports 19:21 22:6	55:1 56:10 57:16	83:16,19,20	sending 76:18
reflected 74:8	represent 6:23	59:13	Sandstone 28:16	sense 16:19,20
refresh 54:15	representative	reviewed 9:1 16:2	34:1,12 35:4	55:21
regard 65:24	14:12	26:9 29:4	81:22 83:3,12	sent 73:2 75:24
	•	•	•	·

76:2	60:11	solubility 63:16	57:5 58:6 60:1,22	41:18
separates 81:20	shown 26:7,7 76:3	solublicy 03.10	62:10 66:7,8	study 12:20,21
83:16	shows 36:18	solution 17:17	67:18 68:21 72:4	41:17 45:9 57:11
separation 82:23	side 27:13,23 34:13	solvent 23:15	74:8 79:10 80:21	subject 11:17 23:22
September 1:1 7:22	34:22 74:12 75:9	Soo 73:7 76:2,7	81:4,22 83:3,12	submission 15:1
series 23:14	signature 87:13	sorry 27:16 29:24	stability 64:16	16:2,23 29:22
	0	41:11 42:24 65:8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
served 11:12 73:9	significant 7:18	80:24	staff 2:13 6:21 12:12 18:21	54:10 57:12 75:14 79:21
75:6 77:22	36:16,17 38:4 39:21			
service 10:7 63:2		sort 24:3 48:2 82:9	stand 6:16,20 42:19	submissions 24:23
74:18 76:16 77:21	significantly 56:23	sound 18:17	standard 8:13	67:8 74:11
79:1	silty 28:6 37:1 82:1	source 48:14	standards 12:16,18	submit 58:10 73:14
serviced 84:11	82:2,4 83:15	sources 11:4 22:15	13:16 14:3,14	75:9,13 76:9 78:4
set 7:17 14:24 26:4	similar 24:1,3,5	southeast 23:3	45:12 59:7	submittal 15:24
50:13 59:5	simple 48:18	southern 22:2	standpoint 55:23	56:22
setback 4:8 8:2	simply 10:23 11:4	23:11	start 43:11 48:1	submitted 15:2,23
11:23 16:17 23:21	13:21 14:10 15:20	sparge 56:20,23	started 19:11 35:18	50:19 55:2 57:18
24:4,13 55:18,24	16:14 24:22 27:22	57:3,3 63:12 64:5	starting 33:4	59:15 67:7
58:7,9 69:17 70:1	62:19 69:13 73:24	sparging 14:22	state 21:16 22:3	subsequent 15:1
70:12,20,21 71:15	76:7	15:9 16:9,17 17:1	25:7,8 26:14 87:1	16:1 41:18
setbacks 17:2 62:12	simultaneous 86:4	46:24 47:6,8 48:1	State's 76:5	success 15:13,14
63:5	sit 30:12	49:18 50:3,6	statement 6:24	64:19
sets 25:12	site 10:4 12:10	52:21 55:8,16,17	9:18 18:1 80:7	successful 52:24
seven 31:6,8,24	22:23,24 23:4,8	56:6 58:11 62:11	static 32:7 36:4,14	Suite 87:21
32:3 36:13 84:7	26:10 29:2 30:9	62:19 64:7 65:3	statute 75:5 78:19	summarize 24:22
seven-inch 33:18	30:15,15,23 32:6	65:12 66:4,15	statutes 75:6	25:19 26:19 32:18
33:20	35:6,6,11,21 37:3	71:3	stenographic 87:10	32:22 38:3 56:13
shale 28:14,15,18	37:13,19,22 39:12	speak 65:11 71:3	steps 44:24 53:5	summarizes 44:3
28:20 35:2 81:9	39:15,22 40:4	73:3,9	60:23	69:22
81:18,20,20 82:13	41:16,16,24,24	specific 77:7	Steven 2:19 87:5,20	summary 6:11 9:13
82:17,20 83:4,16	42:7 43:10 50:4	specifically 22:12	Stewart 5:20,24	10:17 17:7 40:15
83:20	51:6,9,11,12,13	Springfield 2:9	stood 29:18	summer 78:4
shallow 24:5 28:8	53:3 57:4 63:12	86:9	stop 7:3	Superfund 23:4
32:11 36:11 40:14	63:15 64:4 65:4	SS 87:2	storage 23:14,15	supervision 45:7
48:10	70:8 81:6 82:6	St 3:2 5:7,7 6:10	63:10	50:10 53:13 57:7
shape 17:13 48:2	sits 83:15	7:1 8:6 9:6,12,19	store 7:4	59:3
shed 80:12	six 28:9	9:22 10:1,3,8,18	strata 26:11	supplemental 13:1
Shelbyville 9:22	slide 25:15,19 27:5	12:2,9,19 14:5,15	stratified 34:23	supplemented
22:19	27:18 56:16,17,21	15:2,7,19 16:7	stratigraphy 26:10	12:24
shooting 12:17	slight 13:17,17	17:3 19:19 20:16	26:20	supplied 33:12
shorthand 87:5,7	slightly 40:12	21:6,8,11,18,20	Street 1:15 87:21	supply 22:21 31:1
show 10:7 18:18	small 19:20 47:13	24:6 28:16 30:7	strict 70:11	32:2 33:3 75:18
19:21 54:14 68:10	47:16 50:7	32:18 34:1,12	struck 23:5	78:23 79:4
showed 13:2	smaller 34:6 47:20	35:4 41:15 44:11	studied 35:14	supplying 31:23
showing 19:5 28:3	soil 13:8,8	44:23 46:16 49:10	studies 8:22 12:20	40:4
44:12 49:8 52:2	solely 80:1	51:5 52:13 54:8	12:24 16:8 22:7	support 9:8 14:16
L	•	•	•	•

44:16	ļ ,
supported 8:21	1
51:14 66:11	
supports 48:23,23	
sure 4:24 15:21	
18:23 22:1 23:23	
26:22 33:6 41:23	
42:16 61:7 72:12	
73:8,11 76:11,14	
81:23	
surface 34:3,11,23	1
Survey 25:8,8	
26:14	
suspicions 30:17	1
swear 6:10 20:13	
21:9	
sworn 21:13 80:4	
synch 12:4	
system 10:7,10,23	1
11:6,7 15:15	1
30:13 31:4 33:10	1
35:14 50:7 55:17	
65:19 66:4,16	,
69:7,9 72:24	1
84:15	
	1
T	1
T 3:7 21:14,14	1
table 28:10 31:15	1

84:15
T
T 3:7 21:14,14
table 28:10 31:15
take 6:10 7:20
17:22 20:16,17
31:9 44:24 48:12
52:3 53:5 54:24
57:5 64:7 65:24
72:8 84:11
taken 20:7 62:2
72:15 87:11
talk 6:4 19:10
75:21
talked 74:3
talking 32:19 44:5
77:17
tank 47:12,15
tanks 23:14 63:10
TANYA 2:15

TCE 22:10
TCE 22:19 technical 2:13 4:19
14:16 43:23 44:2
44:3,15 45:11
46:18 49:5 50:15
50:18 53:18 54:10
55:11,22 57:14
59:7 66:9 67:8,20
68:23 70:11 79:21
79:22
technology 46:22
47:1 52:22 66:12
66:17
tell 22:13 23:19
24:9 44:13 49:9
51:8 52:4 54:23
60:12 62:16,16
75:17 77:5 80:8
ten 72:10
tender 72:2
terms 24:24 29:16
82:23
Terrific 21:7
test 30:21 32:12
35:12,16 36:1
tested 10:24 11:4,5
testified 37:16
testify 10:19 12:2,9
12:23 13:10,17
14:15 15:11 17:3
testimony 9:13
20:17 42:15 44:4
74:9
testing 13:1,11 14:6
31:13,24 32:3,8
32:16 36:5,24
37:18 38:6,20
81:14 82:3,4
thank 5:1,8,17 6:3
6:4 17:19,22 21:2 21:3 30:4 46:11
46:14 51:2,3 54:3 54:5 57:22 58:2
59:22 61:17,19

62:7 71:24 72:6,8

12:7 15:5 21:6 29:19 30:20 39:9 43:17 55:1 72:6 73:16,18 77:19 84:7,13,20 85:14 86:10 timeliness 74:24 timer 84:3 timing 73:1 Tipsord 2:14 4:21 today 5:6,21 8:19 9:13 15:11 17:15 17:16,24 today's 4:9 76:21 76:22,23 77:2 told 5:17 18:20 top 83:15 total 33:23 34:10 64:14 touched 69:15 70:4 81:13 tower 11:6 12:5,7 28:1 31:8,10 36:3 84:20 town 31:10 34:16 36:2 84:6 transcribed 87:11 transcript 85:20 86:3 87:9 transducer 36:10
today's 4:9 76:21
76:22,23 77:2
touched 69:15 70:4
transfer 40:23
transport 30:16 transportability
29:16
treatment 11:6,7
13:13
trend 32:9
trial 73:19,20 87:7
87:10
trier 24:19 42:14 Troy 34:19,20
true 87:8
truly 45:1 53:7
59:10
truth 80:8

1 age 33
try 9:17 27:15 trying 17:9,9 18:4 47:12 73:4 turbid 29:21,22 turbidity 13:4 14:7 14:10 37:8 42:6 turn 20:2 turned 36:10 65:20 two 8:2 9:16 10:11 11:11,12 12:3,19 12:24 16:18 22:16 25:24 26:6,7,13 26:21,22,23 27:11 27:16 28:4 30:22 30:23 31:5,19 32:4 34:2 35:10 35:20,22 36:3,9 36:21 38:9 41:2,2 42:4 43:14 51:14 55:18 56:1 62:13 62:20,21 67:22 68:3,19,24 69:19 79:6,14,24 81:7 81:10,17 82:10,16 83:9,24 84:5,12 84:12,16
typically 28:13,20 31:4,6 84:2,4
typo 45:23
ij p υ ¬J.∠J
U
unclear 27:4
underground
63:10
understand 73:4
74:24
understanding
5:23 76:21 77:3
unit 4:19 13:22
28:6,14,18,19
32:20 83:2
units 81:21 82:11
02 5 10

83:5,10 University 22:2,4 unreasonable

70:13	want 9:14 10:16	wells 8:3 10:9,11,11	wrapped 65:5	1021 2:8
unusual 29:15	13:6 17:22 19:10	13:4 15:16 25:6	Wright 22:3	106 4:12
update 20:1	19:18,21 20:3	25:13 26:5,7,7,22	written 43:22	106.302 78:21
upper 33:21 34:4	44:19 61:7 73:9	26:23 27:5,11		106.310 17:6
56:18	78:12 85:7	29:10 30:24 31:5	X	11 56:17 63:21
uppermost 13:22	wanted 17:24 80:18	32:21 33:2,3 37:4	X 3:1,7 21:14 75:7	11-512 1:15
32:19	wants 17:17	37:8 41:20 42:4,4	Y	12 34:7 56:9,21
upward 48:2	warning 18:2	43:11 51:12,12		12.5-inch 34:2,7
use 10:11 19:20	Waste 23:8	56:6 62:12,20	Yeah 18:10,13	12:00 72:10
29:21 31:9 37:6	watch 82:8	65:4,11,12,12,12	19:13,24 26:12	12:05 72:19
40:6,7 48:13 49:2	water 4:8 5:15	68:3,11,19 69:1	28:20 45:24 48:22	12th 62:18 69:22
74:8	10:20,22 11:1,2,3	69:19 79:14,24,24	66:2 76:11	1389 2:3
uses 17:14 74:7	11:6,7 12:5,7 13:9	81:5 84:5,5,16	year 10:23,24 11:5	14.2 8:18 14:24
usually 85:10	13:22 22:15,20	went 41:19 51:11	11:5 23:3 75:15	15:10 17:6 71:20
utilize 66:11	25:8 28:1,8,10,21	52:20 57:3	77:5 79:11	73:22 74:15,22
utilized 40:22 63:1	30:19,20 31:1,8	weren't 66:6 69:9	years 9:16 11:12	75:5
69:18	31:10,15,23 32:2	west 1:15 11:24	13:5 22:8,22	14.2(c) 78:19
utilizing 30:22	32:4,6,10,14,20	22:11 25:24 26:1	33:15	14.3 73:23 74:1,23
	32:24 33:13,24	32:1 34:16 84:6	yield 28:21	15 22:22 57:2,3
V	34:11 35:3,22	87:21	yielders 84:23	15-minute 72:9
V 48:2	36:2,3,11,14,19	whatsoever 17:13		16-100 1:6 4:8
Valley 34:19	36:20,22 63:2	wheel 9:14	Z	16th 86:5
valuable 28:21	74:18 75:18 78:23	Windows 20:1	Zalewski 2:12 4:18	17th 15:7,24 52:10
variance 8:12,12	81:8 82:9,13	wisely 11:19	9:2,2 72:19,20,21	64:10 65:7,9
various 64:1	83:10 84:20,23	witness 3:2 5:5,6	74:2 76:8,12,20	18 31:21 36:19
versus 4:6	Waterman 24:1	19:7 20:12 21:12	77:1,9 78:11,14	18-feet 35:21
vertical 37:2 83:1,5	way 7:5 13:4 16:7		79:9,14,18 80:2	1896 10:13 33:18
83:17		27:9,19,20 41:10 43:5 45:24 46:3	80:11,15,16,22,24	18th 72:23
vicinity 26:23	16:10 17:10,13	49:14 52:8 54:20	Zalewski's 9:9	
view 71:14	18:19 55:14 64:3		zero 36:21	19276 2:8
village 1:8 4:7 5:19	67:3 68:17,19	58:19 60:16 61:13	zone 11:23 16:18	1950 26:16
6:1 7:10 10:5,9,13	69:12 71:13	72:2 81:19,24	56:19,24,24 70:20	1950s 11:12
, ,	we'll 18:24 72:8,9	82:15,22 83:8,14	zones 8:2 71:15	1980 22:5
10:20 11:13 26:1	we're 6:9 12:17	83:22 84:2 85:4		1989 7:14,15 63:10
30:12 31:19 32:24	17:8,9,14,15 18:6	87:13	0	19th 60:18
33:1,3 35:13 38:9	18:9,24 19:17	word 10:16 13:7	084-004675 2:20	2
55:18 56:1 74:1,9	20:10 27:7 39:7	77:12	87:23	
74:11,17 75:20,20	44:5 48:17 66:7	words 13:6 54:12		2 27:12
79:10,16 80:1	69:5,11,12 73:4	work 5:14 18:6	1	20 22:22 33:15
81:10	86:1	22:3 84:14	1 12:16,18 13:15	200 84:21
Village's 10:5	we've 32:19 56:22	worked 22:4,20	14:2,13 40:12,12	2000 12:1
vintage 10:13 11:11	63:22 66:16 73:16	, , ,	41:10,10	2000- 12:21
visited 10:4	weapon 48:19	working 22:10	10 73:21	2000s 7:8,12
vs 1:6	Wednesday 77:8	23:11 25:10 37:19	10:10 1:17 4:9	2005 11:22 12:1
	week 5:18 35:24	works 47:6	100 1:14 2:3 73:19	2007 87:21
	weeks 84:12	wouldn't 64:4	101 4:12	2015 7:22

			Page 101
2016 1.1 9.21 12.20	500 24:24		
2016 1:1 8:21 12:20	500 34:24		
12:21,22 13:2	52 3:13		
14:6 15:23 16:8	524-3306 2:9		
24:12 35:8 37:14	54 3:14		
37:22 41:16 44:20	58 3:15		
45:23 46:6	5th 44:2,14 45:21		
2017 1:16 4:9 9:11	46:2,12		
14:18,20 15:7,20	6		
15:24 44:2,14,18			
44:22 45:21,23	60 3:16		
46:2,6,12 49:6	60603 87:22		
51:6,24 52:9,10	61105 2:4		
52:15,18 53:3,9	62794 2:9		
54:11 55:4 58:24	630 34:10		
60:19 62:19 64:10	6th 9:11 14:18		
65:2,17 86:4,5	44:18 45:23		
87:15	7		
21 3:4			
217 2:9	737 33:23		
21st 56:22 57:8	75 20:1		
23rd 1:16 4:9 14:20	7th 86:6,7		
49:6,15 52:15,18	8		
55:4 65:2,7,17			
24 64:17	81:1 87:21		
275 84:22	81 22:5		
29 85:20	815 2:4		
	88-feet 33:21		
3	9		
30 28:5,7 47:24	90 73:20		
62:23 64:17	9A 65:10		
30D 42:4,6	9th 58:24		
30S 42:4,5	7th 36.24		
30th 86:3,4			
312 87:22			
340 84:22			
37 22:8			
370 62:21			
4			
400-foot 70:21			
419-9292 87:22			
44 3:11			
49 3:12			
490-4900 2:4			
5			
	l		